



# Licensing

## Committee

Mon 9 Nov  
2020  
7.00 pm

Virtual Meeting



[www.redditchbc.gov.uk](http://www.redditchbc.gov.uk)

**If you have any queries on this Agenda please contact  
Sarah Sellers**

**Town Hall, Walter Stranz Square, Redditch, B98 8AH**

**Tel: (01527) 64252 ext 2884**

**e.mail: / [sarah.sellers@bromsgroveandredditch.gov.uk](mailto:sarah.sellers@bromsgroveandredditch.gov.uk)**

# **GUIDANCE ON VIRTUAL MEETINGS AND PUBLIC SPEAKING**

Due to the current Covid-19 pandemic Redditch Borough Council will be holding this meeting in accordance with the relevant legislation for remote meeting of a local authority. For more information please refer to the Local Authorities and Police Crime Panels (Coronavirus) (Flexibility of Local Authority and Police and Crime Panels meeting) (England and Wales) Regulations 2020.

The meeting is open to the public except for any exempt/confidential items. Where a meeting is held remotely, "open" means available for live viewing. Members of the public will be able to see and hear the meetings via a live stream to the Council's YouTube Channel which can be accessed using the link below:

[Link to view Licensing Committee](#)

Members of the Committee, officers and public speakers will participate in the meeting using Skype, and details of any access codes/passwords will be made available separately.

If you have any questions regarding the agenda or attached papers please do not hesitate to contact the officer named below,

Although this is a public meeting, there are circumstances when the committee might have to move into closed session to consider exempt or confidential information. For agenda items that are exempt, the public are excluded and for any such items the live stream will be suspended and that part of the meeting will not be recorded.

## **PUBLIC SPEAKING**

The Council has introduced public speaking at Licensing Committee which allows members of the public to comment on agenda items due to be considered at the meeting. The usual process for public speaking at meetings of Licensing Committee will continue to be followed for virtual meetings with public speakers being invited to participate in the Skype meeting.

The total maximum time permitted for public speaking is 15 minutes and the time limit for individual speakers is 3 minutes per speaker.

Only those members of the public who have registered to speak in advance of the meeting will be permitted to do so.

To register to speak you must contact Democratic Services by phone on 01527 64252 ext 2884, or by email at [sarah.sellers@bromsgroveandredditch.gov.uk](mailto:sarah.sellers@bromsgroveandredditch.gov.uk) before 12 noon on Thursday 5<sup>th</sup> November 2020.

When registering to speak you must give your name, contact telephone number, and email address, and indicate which agenda item(s) you wish to speak about, and whether you are in support of or opposed to the officer recommendation

Advice and assistance will be provided to public speakers as to how to access the meeting and those registered to speak will be provided with joining details for the Skype meeting

# **Licensing**

COMMITTEE

**Monday, 9th November, 2020**

**7.00 pm**

**Virtual Meeting - Skype -  
Virtual**

## **Agenda**

### **Membership:**

Cllrs:

Salman Akbar (Chair)  
Roger Bennett (Vice-Chair)  
Joanne Beecham  
Michael Chalk  
Andrew Fry  
Julian Grubb

Pattie Hill  
Ann Isherwood  
Wanda King  
Gareth Prosser  
Yvonne Smith

### **1. Chairs Welcome**

### **2. Apologies**

### **3. Declarations of Interest**

To invite Councillors to declare any Disclosable Pecuniary Interests and / or Other Disclosable Interests they may have in items on the agenda, and to confirm the nature of those interests.

### **4. Minutes (Pages 1 - 10)**

To confirm as a true record the minutes of the Licensing Committee held on Tuesday 28<sup>th</sup> September 2020.

### **5. Public Speaking**

### **6. Statutory Taxi and Private Hire Standards (Pages 11 - 54)**

### **7. Hackney Carriage and Private Hire Vehicle Age Limits (Pages 55 - 64)**

### **8. Review of Hackney Carriage Table of Fares (Pages 65 - 70)**

### **9. Verbal update on engagement with stakeholders in relation to proposals for the creation/ amendment of stands for hackney carriages**

### **10. Work Programme (Pages 71 - 72)**

## **Licensing Committee**

**Tuesday, 29 September  
2020**

### **MINUTES**

#### **Present:**

Councillor Salman Akbar (Chair), Councillor Roger Bennett (Vice-Chair) and Councillors Joanne Beecham, Michael Chalk, Julian Grubb, Ann Isherwood, Yvonne Smith, Mark Shurmer and Jennifer Wheeler

#### **Officers:**

Pauline Ross, Dave Etheridge and Vanessa Brown

#### **Committee Services Officer:**

Sarah Sellers

#### **1. CHAIRS WELCOME**

The Chair welcomed the Committee members and officers to the virtual Licensing Committee meeting being held via Skype. The Chair explained that the meeting was being live streamed on the Council's YouTube channel to enable members of the public to observe the committee.

#### **2. APOLOGIES**

Apologies for absence were received from Councillors Pattie Hill, Andy Fry, Wanda King and Gareth Prosser.

Councillor Jenny Wheeler attended as substitute for Councillor King, and Councillor Mark Shurmer attended as substitute for Councillor Andy Fry.

#### **3. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST**

There were no declarations of interest.

#### **4. MINUTES**

**RESOLVED that**

.....  
Chair

# Licensing Committee

Tuesday, 29 September 2020

---

the Minutes of the Licensing Committee held on 27<sup>th</sup> January 2020 be confirmed as a correct record and signed by the Chair.

## 5. PUBLIC SPEAKING

There were no registered public speakers for the meeting.

## 6. VERBAL UPDATE ON WRS ( LICENSING) RESPONSE TO COVID-19, INCLUDING SUMMARY OF DECISIONS TAKEN UNDER DELEGATED AUTHORITY

Members received a verbal report in relation to the work that Worcestershire Regulatory Services had undertaken in response to the Covid-19 pandemic since March 2020 from the Senior Practitioner (Licensing), Worcestershire Regulatory Services (WRS).

In the initial stages when lockdown was imposed in March 2020 there were challenges in setting up staff to work from home and in making alternative arrangements for licensed drivers to access the team given that government buildings had been closed and it was no longer possible to hold the usual licensing surgeries at the Town Hall.

It was necessary to work quickly to introduce new systems to enable applications to be submitted electronically and for customers to be communicated with.

Other steps taken at this stage included: -

- Communicating with licence holders to signpost them to the government support schemes, such as the Self-Employment income Support Scheme.
- Allowing licensed drivers whose licences expired after March 2020 to defer renewal for up to 6 months without being classed as a “new applicant”.
- Regularly publishing advice to licensed drivers on the steps they could take to work safely during the pandemic.

Decision making powers were delegated by the Council to the head of Worcestershire Regulatory Services to enable him to determine taxi and private hire applications which would normally have been referred to the Licensing Sub-Committee.

It was noted that under these delegated powers, since March officers had dealt with: -

## Licensing Committee

Tuesday, 29 September 2020

---

- 14 applications for hackney carriage vehicle licenses all of which had been granted.
- 7 applications for private hire vehicle licences of which 6 had been granted and 1 refused.
- 3 applications for hackney carriage or private hire driver licences of which 1 had been granted and 2 refused.

With regard to the mandatory closure of pubs and restaurants, the Licensing officers worked with colleagues from the Environmental Health Team to monitor compliance with the business closure regulations.

Changes were introduced to allow pubs and restaurants more time to pay their annual premises licence fees, and advice was issued on the WRS website to assist those business which might be able to adapt to providing take away or delivery services.

Following the decision by the government to start opening non-essential commercial premises, hospitality venues were permitted to re-open from 4<sup>th</sup> July 2020. Licensing officers worked with both Environmental Health Officers and the Police to visit licensed premises when they re-opened to ensure they were following guidance and operating safely.

The guidance was regularly updated, and officers responded to numerous enquiries from business operators and from the public wishing to clarify what businesses could and could not do.

Whilst overall there were very good levels of compliance by businesses wanting to make their premises safe, some complaints were received from the public and this was another area where the Licensing officers had worked with other colleagues to investigate concerns.

In late July the government introduced measures in the Business and Planning Act 2020 to enable “pavement licences” to be issued. The aim was to provide extra outdoor seating capacity on the highway adjacent to businesses which would provide more space for social distancing and help businesses to trade. The new measures were introduced with very short timescales and officers had to work quickly to process applications.

The same legislation also made changes to allow licensed premises previously restricted to on sales to also offer customers the option of purchasing alcohol to be consumed off the premises.

## Licensing Committee

Tuesday, 29 September 2020

---

On the 18<sup>th</sup> September new regulations were brought into force, which imposed a legal duty on businesses in the hospitality sector, including pubs, bars and restaurants to:

- Display the NHS Test and Trace QR code
- Request and retain customer contact details for customers not scanning the NHS Test and Trace QR code
- Ensure compliance with the “Rule of 6”
- Ensure social distancing is maintained between different groups

These regulations were followed in quick succession by regulations imposing further requirements including:-

- A curfew on businesses in the hospitality sector between 10 pm and 5 am
- A requirement for businesses selling alcohol to ensure food and drink is ordered and served to customers who are seated on the premises
- A requirement for businesses supplying food and drink to ensure their customers only consume food or drink when seated.
- A requirement for customers and staff in food and drink premises to wear face coverings
- A prohibition on allowing customers to dance or sing in large groups
- A maximum decibel level for music played in the premises
- A requirement to display notices to remind people to wear face coverings

It was envisaged that there would be further work for the Licensing officers going forward in supporting businesses with changes to regulations and monitoring compliance.

Overall, the team had had to cover a much increased workload in the last six months due to the pandemic and rapidly changing regulations. This had been challenging at times but there had also been good examples of joint working with other colleagues from public health, environmental health and the police.

In response to questions from Members the Senior Practitioner (Licensing) clarified that: -

- Consideration would be given to retaining some of the changes to processes which had proved beneficial to customers and officers. One example was the introduction of receiving applications from licenced drivers electronically which had worked well.



## Licensing Committee

Tuesday, 29 September 2020

---

- Throughout advice had been given by WRS strongly recommending that licensed drivers and their passengers wear face coverings. The latest regulations had made it a legal requirement for passengers to wear face coverings.
- Drivers were being encouraged to switch to cashless payments either through using a payment device or by the operator taking payment or through use of an App; this was a choice though for drivers, and payment by cash was still possible.
- General advice on maintaining safe working practices had been given to licensed drivers by WRS throughout the pandemic and regularly updated. Overall compliance with that advice had been good and most drivers had followed it. (For reference, officers would circulate to Members a link to the advice on the WRS website.)

The Chair thanked the Senior Practitioner (Licensing) for the report and took the opportunity to pass on the thanks of the Members to all the officers for their hard work under challenging circumstances since March.

### **RESOLVED that**

**The contents of the verbal update be noted.**

#### **7. RESULTS OF CONSULTATION ON OPTIONS FOR AMENDING THE CURRENT REQUIREMENTS FOR APPLICANTS AND LICENCE HOLDERS TO DEMONSTRATE THEIR MEDICAL FITNESS TO DRIVE TAXI AND PRIVATE HIRE VEHICLES**

Members received a report setting out the outcome of the consultation exercise carried out in relation to potential changes to the Council's requirements for licensed drivers to demonstrate their medical fitness to drive hackney carriage and private hire vehicles.

The report was presented by the Senior Practitioner (Licensing), Worcestershire Regulatory Services (WRS), and in doing so the following points were highlighted: -

- As part of the Council's duty to ensure that licensed drivers were "fit and proper" it was necessary for medical fitness to be assessed.
- In terms of measuring fitness of drivers, Redditch Borough Council was amongst many Councils that had adopted the "Group 2" medical standards as set out in the DVLA publication "Assessing fitness to drive – a guide for medical professionals".

## Licensing Committee

Tuesday, 29 September 2020

---

- The Group 2 standards for licensed drivers were equivalent to the standards applied to bus or lorry drivers.
- For drivers licensed by the Borough Council there was a requirement to undertake a medical examination upon application and then every 5 years until the age of 60. From age 60 to 65 a medical examination was required every three years, and over 65 annually.
- The medical examination included a compulsory drug test.
- Although originally there had been two authorised medical practitioners drivers could go to, one of them had retired leaving only one authorised medical practitioner.

Officers had a number of concerns regarding the arrangements including reports from licensed drivers that it was difficult to get an appointment, the distance drivers were required to travel as the surgery was not located in Redditch, and that the remaining medical practitioner in effect had a monopoly. There were also concerns over the frequency of testing which was higher than that set out in the Group 2 standards.

A consultation was carried out between December 2019 and February 2020, and Members were referred to the questions (Appendix 1) and the responses (Appendix 2).

The three issues covered in the consultation and to be considered by the Members were: -

- Who should conduct the medical examinations?
- How often the medical examinations should be required?
- Whether drug testing should continue to be part of the medical examinations, or be dealt with via alternative approaches?

In responding to questions from Members officers clarified that the current medical practitioner was based in Alcester and that the cost of the examination was paid by the drivers. It was seen as a one on one consultation and a key aspect was that whoever carried out the examinations had to be medically qualified and would need access to the driver's medical records. Alternative options included in the consultation questions covered drivers being able to use any doctor or their own GP. Members were reminded that continuing to only have one prescribed medical practitioner raised issues around resilience and would lead to difficulties if that doctor were to retire.

It was noted that Redditch was the only council in Worcestershire which included a drug test in the medical examination.

## Licensing Committee

Tuesday, 29 September 2020

---

In response to concerns that the number of replies to the consultation was low, officers advised that it was for Members to decide whether they had sufficient information to make recommendations, but that re-running the consultation would lead to delay and might not achieve a higher number of replies.

In opening the debate the Chair referred the Committee to the responses on pages 29 and 30 of the agenda pack and asked members to consider in the first instance whether drug testing as part of the medical examination should continue. On this point members were of the view that the pre-planned nature of the drugs test was a disadvantage and that some form of spot checks or random testing would be much more effective.

With regard to the issue of who should carry out the medical examinations, reservations were expressed about changing the system to allow drivers or applicants to go their own GP. There were concerns that this might lead to a less rigorous application of the relevant standards, and variations in how the standards were applied.

Overall Members were of the view that the preferred option of the four listed on page 29 would be to retain the system of using Council approved medical practitioners but to increase the number of doctors on the list, ideally to a minimum of three within Redditch.

On the issue of frequency of testing, Members considered that the second option on page 30 of moving to be in line with the Group 2 standards as set out in the Department for Transport guidance would be preferable.

### **RESOLVED that:**

**Officers be directed to take such steps as are necessary to implement a change to the current requirements for licence holders and applicants to demonstrate their medical fitness to drive as follows:**

- (1) The Council expand its list of approved medical practitioners with applicants and licence holders having to be examined and certified as meeting the Group 2 standards by one of the approved medical practitioners.**
- (2) The Council amend the requirement so that medical examinations are required in line with the Group 2 standards set out in the Department for Transport publication “Taxi and Private Hire Vehicle Licensing: Best Practice Guidance” on initial application and then every 5 years once the license holder reaches the age of**

# Licensing Committee

Tuesday, 29 September 2020

---

45 and annually once the licence holder reaches the age of 65.

- (3) The Council remove the requirement for a drug test as part of the medical examination and adopt alternative approaches to drug testing.

## 8. RESULTS OF CONSULTATION ON REMOVING THE REQUIREMENT FOR LICENSED DRIVERS TO UNDERTAKE A PRACTICAL DRIVING ASSESSMENT EVERY THREE YEARS

Members received a report setting out the outcome of the consultation exercise carried out in relation to removing the requirement for licensed drivers to undertake a driving assessment every three years.

The report was presented by the Senior Practitioner (Licensing), Worcestershire Regulatory Services (WRS), and in doing so the following points were highlighted: -

- Licensed drivers were subject to a requirement imposed by the Licensing Committee in November 2017 to undertake a driving assessment test every three years.
- This requirement had been unpopular with drivers who regarded it as disproportionate and unreasonable.
- In light of comments from drivers at the Hackney Carriage and Private Hire Liaison Forum in September 2019, Licensing Committee decided to look at the issue and on 27<sup>th</sup> January 2020 resolved to carry out a consultation exercise on removing the requirement.
- A consultation was carried out between 12<sup>th</sup> February 2020 and 20<sup>th</sup> March 2020, and Members were referred to the questions (Appendix 2) and the responses (Appendix 3).
- As set out at paragraph 3.13 on page 35 of the agenda, of 43 responses, 35 respondents (81.4%) were in favour of removing the requirement; 8 respondents (18.6%) did not support the proposal.
- Members were referred to the additional written comments submitted by the respondents. It was noted that some of the responses from those who did not support removing the requirement were perhaps at odds with that stated position.

## Licensing Committee

Tuesday, 29 September 2020

---

In responding to questions from Members, the Senior Practitioner (Licensing), Worcestershire Regulatory Services (WRS), clarified that:-

- The response rate to the survey had been quite low; roughly 1 in 10 drivers had responded.
- The cost of the driving assessment would be borne by the drivers, although no drivers had been affected yet as the requirement would only start to comply from December 2020 (being the three year anniversary of the introduction of the policy).
- The number of complaints received regarding standard of driving was relatively small.
- With the increased availability of footage from dashboard cameras, it could be said to be more effective to use that evidence to deal with individual drivers as opposed to imposing a blanket requirement on all drivers.
- Officers had the option of bringing individual drivers before Licensing Sub-Committee if there was evidence of bad driving.
- The proposal did not affect the Disability Awareness Training which was being retained.

In debating the issue views were expressed in support of retaining the driving assessment. Other views were expressed questioning whether the test added any benefit and noting that it might be more effective to target individual drivers where there were complaints.

A recommendation was moved and seconded that the policy be amended to remove the requirement for licenced drivers to undertake driving assessments every three years.

An alternative recommendation was moved and seconded that there be no change to the policy and that the requirement to undertake the driving assessments be retained.

On being put to the vote, the alternative recommendation was defeated.

### **RESOLVED that**

**The Council's policy on licensing of drivers of hackney carriage and private hire vehicles be amended to remove the requirement for licenced drivers to undertake a practical driving assessment every three years.**

# **Licensing Committee**

**Tuesday, 29 September 2020**

---

## **9. WORK PROGRAMME**

Members were referred to the Work Programme at page 51 of the agenda and officers outlined the items due to be considered at the next meeting on 9<sup>th</sup> November.

The Review of the Hackney Carriage Tariff was a standard item considered annually although this was dependent on the wishes of the trade with whom the Senior Practitioner (Licensing) (SPL), would be consulting about whether they would be seeking an increase.

### **RESOLVED that**

**The Licensing Committee Work Programme 2020/21 be noted.**

The Meeting commenced at 7.00 pm  
and closed at 8.45 pm

**REDDITCH BOROUGH COUNCIL****LICENSING  
COMMITTEE****9<sup>th</sup> November 2020****STATUTORY TAXI AND PRIVATE HIRE STANDARDS**

Relevant Portfolio Holder	Councillor J Grubb
Portfolio Holder Consulted	No
Relevant Head of Service	Simon Wilkes – Head of Worcestershire Regulatory Services
Wards Affected	All Wards
Ward Councillor Consulted	N/A
Non-Key Decision	

**1. SUMMARY OF PROPOSALS**

The Department for Transport has recently published guidance under section 177 of the Policing and Crime Act 2017 entitled “Statutory Taxi & Private Hire Standards.” As a public authority which has licensing functions under taxi and private hire vehicle legislation, the Council has a legal duty to have regard to this guidance.

**2. RECOMMENDATIONS**

**That the Committee note the content of the report, give consideration to the guidance at Appendix 1 and note that officers will now begin a review of all of the Council’s hackney carriage and private hire licensing policies in light of the guidance.**

**3. KEY ISSUES****Financial Implications**

- 3.1 The costs associated with conducting a review of the Council’s hackney carriage and private hire licensing policies will be met from existing budgets held by Worcestershire Regulatory Services.

**Legal Implications**

- 3.2 The Statutory Taxi and Private Hire Standards have been published by the Department for Transport under the powers set out in section 177 of the Policing and Crime Act 2017.

**LICENSING  
COMMITTEE****9<sup>th</sup> November 2020**

---

- 3.3 Section 177(4) of the Policing and Crime Act 2017 states that any public authority which has licensing functions under taxi and private hire vehicle legislation must have regard to any guidance issued under this section.

**Service / Operational Implications**

- 3.4 Section 177 of the Policing and Crime Act 2017 enabled the Secretary of State to issue statutory guidance to taxi and private hire licensing authorities as to how their licensing functions may be exercised so as to protect children and vulnerable individuals who are 18 and over from harm.
- 3.5 The legislation was to a large extent prompted by the Jay and Casey reports on child sexual abuse and exploitation (CSAE) in Rotherham. Both of these reports highlighted examples of taxi / private hire vehicle drivers being directly linked to children that were abused, including instances when children were picked up from schools, children's homes or from family homes and abused or sexually exploited.
- 3.6 A public consultation on draft statutory guidance ran between 12 February 2019 and 22 April 2019. Following lengthy consideration of the consultation responses, the Department for Transport published guidance entitled Statutory Taxi & Private Hire Standards (hereafter referred to as "the Standards") on 21 July 2020. A copy of the Standards can be seen at **Appendix 1**.
- 3.7 The Standards contains a number of recommendations regarding matters connected to taxi and private hire licensing functions including:
- Criminality checks for licence holders
  - Working with the Police
  - Sharing information with other licensing authorities
  - Dealing with complaints about drivers and operators
  - Training for Members
  - Criminal convictions and rehabilitation of offenders
  - Safeguarding awareness advice, guidance and training for drivers
  - Language proficiency
  - CCTV in licensed vehicles
  - Regulation of booking and dispatch staff
  - Record keeping



**REDDITCH BOROUGH COUNCIL****LICENSING  
COMMITTEE****9<sup>th</sup> November 2020**

---

- 3.8 The introduction to the Standards states that the Department for Transport “expects these recommendations to be implemented unless there is a compelling local reason not to.”
- 3.9 It is clear therefore that it is the desire of the Government to see consistent standards applied by taxi and private hire licensing authorities across the country.
- 3.10 Officers believe that all of the Council’s current hackney carriage and private hire licensing policies will now need to be reviewed carefully with a view to implementing any changes required in light of the recommendations set out in the Standards.
- 3.11 This review would ultimately lead to the drafting of a new cohesive policy document that brings together all the Council’s procedures on taxi and private hire vehicle licensing. This will include, but not be limited to, policies on convictions, a “fit and proper” person test, licence conditions and vehicle standards.
- 3.12 Members are asked to note the content of this report, give consideration to the Standards at **Appendix 1** and to note that officers will now begin a review of all of the Council’s hackney carriage and private hire licensing policies in light of the Standards.

**4. RISK MANAGEMENT**

- 4.1 Failure to have regard to the guidance issued under section 177 would leave the Council in breach of a legal duty to do so.
- 4.2 Although it remains the case that the Council must reach its own decisions, both on overall policies and on individual licensing matters in light of the relevant law, it may be that the Standards might be drawn upon in any legal challenge to an authority’s practice, and that any failure to adhere to the Standards without sufficient justification could be detrimental to the authority’s defence.

**5. APPENDICES**

Appendix 1 – Department for Transport guidance entitled “Statutory Taxi & Private Hire Vehicle Standards”

**LICENSING  
COMMITTEE**

**9<sup>th</sup> November 2020**

---

**AUTHOR OF REPORT**

Name: Dave Etheridge – Senior Licensing Practitioner  
Worcestershire Regulatory Services

E Mail: [dave.etheridge@worcsregservices.gov.uk](mailto:dave.etheridge@worcsregservices.gov.uk)

Tel: (01905) 822799



Department  
for Transport

## **Statutory Taxi & Private Hire Vehicle Standards**

## Contents

	Page
1. Introduction .....	4
2. Consideration of the Statutory Taxi and Private Hire Vehicle Standards .....	6
3. Administering the Licensing Regime.....	8
Licensing polices .....	8
Duration of licences .....	9
Whistleblowing.....	9
Consultation at the local level .....	10
Changing licensing policy and requirements .....	10
4. Gathering and Sharing Information.....	12
The Disclosure and Barring Service .....	12
The Disclosure and Barring Service Update Service .....	13
Common Law Police Disclosure .....	13
Licensee self-reporting .....	13
Referrals to the Disclosure and Barring Service and the Police .....	14
Working with the Police .....	15
Sharing licensing information with other licensing authorities.....	15
Multi-agency Safeguarding Hub (MASH).....	16
Complaints against licensees .....	17
Overseas convictions .....	17
5. Decision Making .....	19
Administration of the licensing framework .....	19
Training decision makers.....	19
The regulatory structure .....	20
Fit and proper test .....	21
Criminal convictions and rehabilitation .....	21
6. Driver Licensing .....	23
Criminality checks for drivers.....	23
Safeguarding awareness.....	23
‘County lines’ exploitation .....	24
Language proficiency .....	25

7. Vehicle Licensing.....	26
Criminality checks for vehicle proprietors .....	26
In-vehicle visual and audio recording – CCTV.....	27
Stretched Limousines .....	28
8. Private Hire Vehicle Operator Licensing .....	29
Criminality checks for private hire vehicle operators.....	29
Booking and dispatch staff.....	30
Record keeping .....	31
Use of passenger carrying vehicles (PCV) licensed drivers .....	31
9. Enforcing the Licensing Regime .....	33
Joint authorisation of enforcement officers .....	33
Setting expectations and monitoring.....	33
Suspension and revocation of driver licences.....	33
Annex – Assessment of Previous Convictions .....	35
Annex – Disclosure and Barring Service information .....	37
Annex – CCTV Guidance .....	38
Annex - Staying Safe: Guidance for Passengers .....	40

## 1. Introduction

- 1.1 There is evidence to support the view that taxis and private hire vehicles are a high-risk environment. In terms of risks to passengers, this can be seen in abuse and exploitation of children and vulnerable adults facilitated and in some cases perpetrated by the trade and the number of sexual crimes reported which involve taxi and private hire vehicle drivers. Links between the trade and child sexual abuse and exploitation have been established in many areas and other investigations continue. Data on reported sexual assaults by taxi and private hire vehicle drivers evidence the risk to passengers; data from [Greater Manchester](#) and [Merseyside](#) suggest that, if similar offence patterns are applied across England, 623 sexual assaults per year are reported. These figures do not however account for the under reporting of crime which is estimated to be as high as 83 percent in the [Crime Survey for England and Wales](#).
- 1.2 The Policing and Crime Act 2017 enables the Secretary of State for Transport to issue statutory guidance on exercising taxi and private hire vehicle licensing functions to protect children and vulnerable individuals who are over 18 from harm when using these services. For the purposes of this document, a child is defined as anyone who has not yet reached their 18th birthday; and the term “vulnerable individual” has the same meaning as the definition of a ‘vulnerable adult’ for the purpose of section 42 of the [Care Act 2014](#), which applies where a local authority has reasonable cause to suspect that an adult in its area (whether or not ordinarily resident there):
- (a) has needs for care and support (whether or not the authority is meeting any of those needs),
  - (b) is experiencing, or is at risk of, abuse or neglect, and
  - (c) as a result of those needs is unable to protect himself or herself against the abuse or neglect or the risk of it.
- 1.3 Whilst the focus of the Statutory Taxi and Private Hire Vehicle Standards is on protecting children and vulnerable adults, all passengers will benefit from the recommendations contained in it. There is consensus that common core minimum standards are required to regulate better the taxi and private hire vehicle sector, and the recommendations in this document are the result of detailed discussion with the trade, regulators and safety campaign groups. **The Department therefore expects these recommendations to be implemented unless there is a compelling local reason not to.**
- 1.4 It should be noted that as policing and criminal justice is not a devolved matter, the Statutory Taxi and Private Hire Vehicle Standards issued under the Policing and Crime Act 2017 will continue to have effect in Wales although responsibility for taxi and private hire vehicle policy was devolved to the Welsh Assembly in April 2018. Should the Welsh Government introduce legislation to regulate on these issues, the standards in this document would, cease to apply.

- 1.5 All local authorities and district councils that provide children's and other types of services, including licensing authorities, have a statutory duty to make arrangements to ensure that their functions and any services that they contract out to others are discharged having regard to the need to safeguard and promote the welfare of children. This means that licensing authorities should have in place arrangements that reflect the importance of safeguarding and promoting the welfare of children. This includes clear whistleblowing procedures, safe recruitment practices and clear policies for dealing with allegations against people who work with children, as set out in the [Working Together to Safeguard Children](#) statutory guidance.
- 1.6 The Statutory Taxi and Private Hire Vehicle Standards reflect the significant changes in the industry and lessons learned from experiences in local areas since the 2010 version of the Department's Best Practice Guidance. This includes extensive advice on checking the suitability of individuals and operators to be licensed; safeguarding children and vulnerable adults; the Immigration Act 2016 and Common Law Police Disclosure (which replaced the Notifiable Occupations Scheme).
- 1.7 The standards in this document replace relevant sections of the Best Practice Guidance issued by the Department in 2010, where there is a conflict between the Statutory Taxi and Private Hire Vehicle Standards and the Best Practice Guidance the Department issue on taxi and private hire vehicle licensing, the standards in this document take precedence.

### Terminology

Taxis are referred to in legislation, regulation and common language as 'hackney carriages', 'black cabs' and 'cabs'. The term '**taxi**' is used throughout this document and refers to all such vehicles. Taxis can be hired immediately by hailing on the street or at a rank.

Private hire vehicles include a range of vehicles including minicabs, executive cars, chauffeur services, limousines and some school and day centre transport services. All private hire vehicle journeys must be pre-booked via a licensed private hire vehicle operator and are subject to a 'triple licensing lock' i.e. the operator fulfilling the booking must use vehicles and drivers licensed by the same authority as that which granted its licence. The term 'private hire vehicle' is used throughout this document to refer to all such vehicles.

## 2. Consideration of the Statutory Taxi and Private Hire Vehicle Standards

- 2.1 The past failings of licensing regimes must never be repeated. The Department has carefully considered the measures contained in the Statutory Taxi and Private Hire Vehicle Standards and recommend that these should be put in to practice and administered appropriately to mitigate the risk posed to the public. The purpose of setting standards is to protect children and vulnerable adults, and by extension the wider public, when using taxis and private hire vehicles.
- 2.2 The Government set out in the [Modern Crime Prevention Strategy](#) the evidence that where Government, law enforcement, businesses and the public work together on prevention, this can deliver significant and sustained cuts in certain crimes. That is good news for victims and communities and it makes clear economic sense too. Educating the public on the risks of using unlicensed drivers and vehicles, how to identify the licensed trade and appropriate measure to take when using these services will protect help all passengers, more information is annexed to this document (Annex - Staying safe: guidance for passengers).
- 2.3 The Strategy committed to protect children and young people from the risk of child sexual abuse and exploitation (CSAE), by working with local authorities to introduce rigorous taxi and private hire vehicle licensing regimes. Both the [Jay](#) and [Casey](#) reports on CSAE highlighted examples of taxi/private hire vehicle drivers being directly linked to children that were abused, including instances when children were picked up from schools, children's homes or from family homes and abused, or sexually exploited.
- 2.4 The Casey Report made clear that weak and ineffective arrangements for taxi and private hire vehicle licensing had left the children and public at risk. The Department for Transport has worked with the Home Office, Local Government Association (LGA), personal safety charities, trade unions and trade bodies,



holding workshops, forums, and sharing evidence and good practice with local authorities to assist in the setting of the standards.

- 2.5 This document is published by the Secretary of State for Transport under section 177(1) of the Policing and Crime Act 2017 following consultation in accordance with section 177(5).
- 2.6 The document sets out a framework of policies that, under section 177(4), licensing authorities “**must have regard**” to when exercising their functions. These functions include developing, implementing and reviewing their taxi and private hire vehicle licensing regimes. “Having regard” is more than having a cursory glance at a document before arriving at a preconceived conclusion.
- 2.7 “Having regard” to these standards requires public authorities, in formulating a policy, to give considerations the weight which is proportionate in the circumstances. **Given that the standards have been set directly to address the safeguarding of the public and the potential impact of failings in this area, the importance of thoroughly considering these standards cannot be overstated.** It is not a question of box ticking; the standards must be considered rigorously and with an open mind.
- 2.8 Although it remains the case that licensing authorities must reach their own decisions, both on overall policies and on individual licensing matters in light of the relevant law, it may be that the Statutory Taxi and Private Hire Vehicle Standards might be drawn upon in any legal challenge to an authority’s practice, and that any failure to adhere to the standards without sufficient justification could be detrimental to the authority’s defence. **In the interest of transparency, all licensing authorities should publish their consideration of the measures contained in Statutory Taxi and Private Hire Vehicle Standards, and the policies and delivery plans that stem from these.** The Department has undertaken to monitor the effectiveness of the standards in achieving the protection of children and vulnerable adults (and by extension all passengers).
- 2.9 The Statutory Taxi and Private Hire Vehicle Standards does not purport to give a definitive statement of the law and any decisions made by a licensing authority remain a matter for that authority.

### 3. Administering the Licensing Regime

#### Licensing policies

- 3.1 The Department recommends all licensing authorities make publicly available a cohesive policy document that brings together all their procedures on taxi and private hire vehicle licensing. This should include but not be limited to policies on convictions, a 'fit and proper' person test, licence conditions and vehicle standards.
- 3.2 When formulating a taxi and private hire vehicle policy, the primary and overriding objective must be to protect the public. The importance of ensuring that the licensing regime protects the vulnerable cannot be overestimated. This was highlighted in the [report by Dame Louise Casey CB](#) of February 2015 on safeguarding failings.

*"It will be evident from this report that in many cases the activities of perpetrators take place in spheres which are regulated by the Council – taxis have been the focus of particular concern. Persistent and rigorous enforcement of the regulatory functions available to the council, including the placing of conditions on private hire taxi operator licences where appropriate, would send a strong signal that the trade is being monitored and would curtail the activities of opportunistic perpetrators whereby taxi drivers have solicited children to provide sex in return for cigarettes, alcohol or a fare free ride."*

- 3.3 The long-term devastation caused by CSAE was summarised in the same report:

*"Victims suffer from suicidal feelings and often self-harm. Many become pregnant. Some have to manage the emotional consequences of miscarriages and abortions while others have children that they are unable to parent appropriately. The abuse and violence continues to affect victims into adulthood. Many enter violent and abusive relationships. Many suffer poor mental health and addiction."*

- 3.4 Rotherham Metropolitan Borough Council ('Rotherham Council') provides an example of how the systematic review of policies and procedures and the implementation of a plan to drive improvements in practice can result in a well-functioning taxi and private hire vehicle sector that is rebuilding local confidence in the industry. The history of past failings here and elsewhere is well known, but it is the transparency and resolution that Rotherham Council has demonstrated and the high standards they now require that are rebuilding public confidence.
- 3.5 One of the key lessons learned is that it is vital to review policies and reflect changes in the industry both locally and nationally. **Licensing authorities should review their licensing policies every five years, but should also consider interim reviews should there be significant issues arising in their area, and their performance annually.**

### Duration of licences

- 3.6 A previous argument against issuing licences for more than a year was that a criminal offence might be committed, and not notified, during this period; this can of course also be the case during the duration of a shorter licence. This risk can be mitigated for drivers by authorities to undertaking regular interim checks. To help authorities monitor licensees' suitability, licensing authorities should engage with their police force to ensure that when the police believe a licensee presents a risk to the travelling public they use their Common Law Police Disclosure powers (see paragraphs 4.9 - 4.11) to advise them.
- 3.7 The Local Government (Miscellaneous Provisions) Act 1976 (as amended) sets a standard length at three years for taxi and private hire vehicle drivers and five years for private hire vehicle operators. Any shorter duration licence should only be issued when the licensing authority thinks it is appropriate in the specific circumstances of the case, if a licensee has requested one or where required (e.g. when the licence holder's leave to remain in the UK is time-limited) or when the licence is only required to meet a short-term demand; they should not be issued on a 'probationary' basis.

### Whistleblowing

- 3.8 It is in the application of licensing authority's policies (and the training and raising of awareness among those applying them) that protection will be provided. Where there are concerns that policies are not being applied correctly, it is vital that these can be raised, investigated and remedial action taken if required. **Licensing authorities should have effective internal procedures in place for staff to raise concerns and for any concerns to be dealt with openly and fairly.**

A report into the licensing of drivers by South Ribble Borough Council highlights the implications of not applying the agreed policies. In early August 2015, concerns were raised regarding decisions to renew the licences of drivers where there were potential incidents of child sexual exploitation. An internal review concluded that there had been failings in local investigatory procedures which might have affected the ability of the General Licensing Committee to make proper decisions, and information sharing with the police and data recording was not satisfactory.

- 3.9 The external investigation in South Ribble concluded “that there had been a lack of awareness and priority given to safeguarding and the safety of taxi [and private hire vehicle] passengers in the manner in which licensing issues were addressed”. We are pleased to note that the [report](#) concludes, “The Council have been active at every stage in responding to issues and concerns identified. It has taken steps to address operational issues in the licensing function and has engaged fully with other agencies in so doing. In the light of the above, it is not necessary to make any further recommendations.”
- 3.10 It is hoped that all licensing authorities will have learnt from these mistakes but to prevent a repeat, **local authorities should ensure they have an effective ‘whistleblowing’ policy and that all staff are aware of it.** If a worker is aware of, and has access to, effective internal procedures for raising concerns then ‘whistleblowing’ is unlikely to be needed.
- 3.11 The Public Interest Disclosure Act 1998 (PIDA), commonly referred to as whistleblowing legislation, provides protection for those that have a reasonable belief of serious wrongdoing, including failure to comply with professional standards, council policies or codes of practice/conduct. The PIDA is part of employment law. In the normal course of events, if a worker reveals information that his employer does not want revealed it may be a disciplinary offence. If someone leaked their employer’s confidential information to the press, they might expect to be dismissed for that. The PIDA enables workers who ‘blow the whistle’ about wrongdoing to complain to an employment tribunal if they are dismissed or suffer any other form of detriment for doing so. It is a qualified protection and certain conditions would have to be met for the worker to be protected. More information is available online for [employees](#) and [employers](#).

### Consultation at the local level

- 3.12 Licensing authorities should consult on proposed changes in licensing rules that may have significant impacts on passengers and/or the trade. Such consultation should include not only the taxi and private hire vehicle trades but also groups likely to be the trades’ customers. Examples are groups representing disabled people, Chambers of Commerce, organisations with a wider transport interest (e.g. the Campaign for Better Transport and other transport providers), women’s groups, local traders, and the local multi-agency safeguarding arrangements. It may also be helpful to consult with night-time economy groups (such as Pubwatch) if the trade is an important element of dispersal from the local night-time economy’s activities.
- 3.13 Any decision taken to alter the licensing regime is likely to have an impact on the operation of the taxi and private hire vehicle sector in neighbouring areas; and **licensing authorities should engage with these areas to identify any concerns and issues that might arise from a proposed change.** Many areas convene regional officer consultation groups or, more formally, councillor liaison meetings; this should be adopted by all authorities.

### Changing licensing policy and requirements

- 3.14 **Any changes in licensing requirements should be followed by a review of the licences already issued.** If the need to change licensing requirements has been identified, this same need is applicable to those already in possession of a licence. That is not however to suggest that licences should be automatically revoked overnight, for example if a vehicle specification is changed it is proportionate to allow those that would not meet the criteria to have the opportunity to adapt or change their vehicle. The same pragmatic approach should be taken to driver licence changes - if requirements are changed to include a training course or qualification, a reasonable time should be allowed for this to be undertaken or gained. The implementation schedule of any changes that affect current licence holders must be transparent and communicated promptly and clearly.
- 3.15 Where a more subjective change has been introduced, for example an amended policy on previous convictions, a licensing authority must consider each case on its own merits. Where there are exceptional, clear and compelling reasons to deviate from a policy, licensing authorities should consider doing so. Licensing authorities should record the reasons for any deviation from the policies in place.

## 4. Gathering and Sharing Information

- 4.1 Licensing authorities must consider as full a range of information available to them when making a decision whether to grant a licence and to meet their ongoing obligation to ensure a licensee remains suitable to hold a licence.

### The Disclosure and Barring Service

- 4.2 The Disclosure and Barring Service (DBS) provides access to criminal record information through its disclosure service for England and Wales. The DBS also maintains the lists of individuals barred from working in regulated activity with children or adults. The DBS makes independent barring decisions about people who have harmed, or where they are considered to pose a risk of harm to a child or vulnerable person within the workplace. The DBS enables organisations in the public, private and voluntary sectors to make safer employment decisions by identifying candidates who may be unsuitable for certain work, especially that which involves vulnerable groups including children.
- 4.3 Enhanced certificates with a check of the barred lists include details of spent and unspent convictions recorded on the Police National Computer (PNC), any additional information which a chief officer of police believes to be relevant and ought to be disclosed, as well as indicating whether the individual is barred from working in regulated activity with children or adults. Spent convictions and cautions are disclosed on standard and enhanced certificates according to rules set out in legislation. Convictions which resulted in a custodial sentence, and convictions or cautions for a specified serious offence such as those involving child sexual abuse will always be disclosed on a standard or enhanced certificate. Full details of the disclosure rules, and those offences which will always be disclosed, are available from the [DBS](#). As well as convictions and cautions, an enhanced certificate may include additional information which a chief police officer reasonably believes is relevant and ought to be disclosed. Chief police officers must have regard to the [statutory guidance](#) issued by the Home Office when considering disclosure. A summary of the information provided at each level of DBS checks is annexed to this document (Annex – Disclosure and Barring Service information).
- 4.4 It should be noted that licensing authorities must not circumvent the DBS process and seek to obtain details of previous criminal convictions and other information that may not otherwise be disclosed on a DBS certificate. Whilst data protection legislation (not just the Data Protection Act 2018 or General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR)) gives individuals (or data subjects) a 'right of access' to the personal data that an organisation holds about them, it is a criminal offence to require an individual to exercise their subject access rights so as to gain information about any convictions and cautions. This could potentially lead to the authority receiving information to which it is not entitled. The appropriate way of accessing an individual's criminal records is through an enhanced DBS and barred lists check.

## The Disclosure and Barring Service Update Service

- 4.5 Subscription to the DBS Update Service allows those with standard and enhanced certificates to keep these up to date online and, with the individual's consent, allows nominees to check the status of a certificate online at any time. Subscription to the service removes the need for new certificates to be requested, reduces the administrative burden and mitigates potential delays in relicensing.
- 4.6 The DBS will search regularly to see if any relevant new information has been received since the certificate was issued. The frequency varies depending on the type of information; for criminal conviction and barring information, the DBS will search for updates on a weekly basis. For non-conviction information, the DBS will search for updates every nine months.
- 4.7 Licensing authorities are able to request large numbers of status checks on a daily basis. The DBS has developed a Multiple Status Check Facility (MSCF) that can be accessed via a web service. The MSCF enables organisations to make an almost unlimited number of Status Checks simultaneously. Further information on the MSCF is available from the [DBS](#).
- 4.8 Should the MSCF advise that new information is available the DBS certificate should no longer be relied upon and a new DBS certificate requested.

### Common Law Police Disclosure

- 4.9 The DBS is not the only source of information that should be considered as part of a fit and proper assessment for the licensing of taxi and private hire vehicle drivers. Common Law Police Disclosure ensures that where there is a public protection risk, the police will pass information to the employer or regulatory body to allow them to act swiftly to mitigate any danger.
- 4.10 Common Law Police Disclosure replaced the Notifiable Occupations Scheme (NOS) in March 2015 and focuses on providing timely and relevant information which might indicate a public protection risk. Information is passed on at arrest or charge, rather than on conviction which may be some time after, allowing any measures to mitigate risk to be put in place immediately.
- 4.11 This procedure provides robust safeguarding arrangements while ensuring only relevant information is passed on to employers or regulatory bodies. **Licensing authorities should maintain close links with the police to ensure effective and efficient information sharing procedures and protocols are in place and are being used.**

### Licensee self-reporting

- 4.12 Licence holders should be required to notify the issuing authority within 48 hours of an arrest and release, charge or conviction of any sexual offence, any offence involving dishonesty or violence and any motoring offence. An arrest for any of the offences within this scope should result in a review by the issuing authority as to whether the licence holder is fit to continue to do so. This must not

however be seen as a direction that a licence should be withdrawn; it is for the licensing authority to consider what, if any, action in terms of the licence should be taken based on the balance of probabilities. Should an authority place an obligation on licensees to notify under these circumstances, authorities should also ensure appropriate procedures are in place to enable them to act in a suitable timeframe if and when needed.

- 4.13 Importantly, a failure by a licence holder to disclose an arrest that the issuing authority is subsequently advised of might be seen as behaviour that questions honesty and therefore the suitability of the licence holder regardless of the outcome of the initial allegation.

### Referrals to the Disclosure and Barring Service and the Police

- 4.14 In some circumstances it may be appropriate under the Safeguarding Vulnerable Groups Act 2006 for licensing authorities to make referrals to the DBS. **A decision to refuse or revoke a licence as the individual is thought to present a risk of harm to a child or vulnerable adult, should be referred to the DBS.** The power for the licensing authority to make a referral in this context arises from the undertaking of a safeguarding role. Further guidance has been provided by the [DBS](#).

- 4.15 The Department recommends that licensing authorities should make a referral to the DBS when it is thought that:

- an individual has harmed or poses a risk of harm to a child or vulnerable adult;
- an individual has satisfied the '[harm test](#)'; or
- received a caution or conviction for a relevant offence and;
- the person they are referring is, has or might in future be working in regulated activity;

if the above conditions are satisfied, the DBS may consider it appropriate for the person to be added to a barred list.

- 4.16 These referrals may result in the person being added to a barred list and enable other licensing authorities to consider this should further applications to other authorities be made. Further information on referrals to DBS is [available](#).



### Working with the Police

- 4.17 The police are an invaluable source of intelligence when assessing whether a licensing applicant is a 'fit and proper' person. It is vital that licensing authorities have a partnership with the police service to ensure that appropriate information is shared as quickly as possible. As part of building an effective working relationship between the licensing authority and the police, **action taken by the licensing authority as a result of information received should be fed-back to the police.** Increasing the awareness among police forces of the value licensing authorities place on the information received, particularly on non-conviction intelligence, will assist furthering these relationships and reinforce the benefits of greater sharing of information.
- 4.18 This relationship can be mutually beneficial, assisting the police to prevent crime. The police can gain valuable intelligence from drivers and operators, for example, the identification of establishments that are selling alcohol to minors or drunks, or the frequent transportation of substance abusers to premises.
- 4.19 To aid further the quality of the information available to all parties that have a safeguarding duty, a revocation or refusal on public safety grounds should also be advised to the police.

### Sharing licensing information with other licensing authorities

- 4.20 As has been stated elsewhere in this document, obtaining the fullest information minimises the doubt as to whether an applicant or licensee is 'fit and proper'. An obvious source of relevant information is any previous licensing history. **Applicants and licensees should be required to disclose if they hold or have previously held a licence with another authority. An applicant should also be required to disclose if they have had an application for a licence refused, or a licence revoked or suspended by any other licensing authority.** Licensing authorities should explicitly advise on their application forms that making a false statement or omitting to provide the information requested may be a criminal offence.
- 4.21 The LGA's Councillors' [Handbook on taxi and private hire vehicle licensing](#) advises that those responsible for licensing should "*communicate regularly with licensing committees and officers in neighbouring councils to ensure critical information is shared and that there is a consistency and robustness in decision-making. By working together, local government can make sure that this vital service is safe, respected, and delivering for local communities.*". While this approach may aid consistency and robustness in decision-making within regions, it has obvious inherent limitations as it is unlikely such protocols could be established between all licensing authorities. The LGA commissioned the National Anti-Fraud Network to develop a national register of taxi and private hire vehicle driver licence refusals and revocations (the register is known as 'NR3'). **Tools such as NR3 should be used by licensing authorities to share information on a more consistent basis to mitigate the risk of non-disclosure of relevant information by applicants.**

- 4.22 For these processes to be beneficial, all licensing authorities must keep a complete and accurate record as to the reasons for refusal, suspension or revocation of a licence in order that this might be shared if requested and appropriate to do so.
- 4.23 Data protection legislation provides exemption from the rights of data subjects for the processing of personal data in connection with regulatory activities. This includes taxi and private hire vehicle licensing. The exemption applies only to information processed for the core regulatory activities of appropriate organisations; it may not be used in a blanket manner. The exemption applies only to the extent that the application of the rights of data subjects to the information in question would be likely to prejudice the proper discharge of the regulatory functions. The Information Commissioner's Office has published [guidance](#) to assist organisations to fully understand their obligations and suggest good practice.
- 4.24 If notification under paragraph 4.20 or 4.21 of a refused or revoked licence is disclosed, the relevant licensing authority should be contacted to establish when the licence was refused, suspended or revoked and the reasons why. In those circumstances, the relevant licensing authority must consider whether it should disclose any information in relation to the previous decision, consistent with its obligations under data protection legislation. If information is disclosed, it can then be taken into account in determining the applicant's fitness to be licensed. The relevance of the reason for refusing/revoking a licence must be considered. For example, if any individual was refused a licence for failing a local knowledge test, it does not have any safeguarding implications. Conversely, a revocation or refusal connected to indecency would. Licensing authorities should not simply replicate a previous decision, authorities must consider each application on its own merits and with regard to its own policies.
- 4.25 Should a licensing authority receive information that a licence holder did not disclose the information referred to in paragraph 4.20, for example by checking the NR3 register, the authority should consider whether the non-disclosure represents dishonesty and should review whether the licence holder remains 'fit and proper'.

### Multi-agency Safeguarding Hub (MASH)

- 4.26 Multi-Agency Safeguarding Hubs are a way to improve the safeguarding response for children and vulnerable adults through better information sharing and high quality and timely safeguarding responses. MASHs (or similar models) should operate on three common principles: information sharing, joint decision making and coordinated intervention.
- 4.27 The Home Office report on [Multi Agency Working and Information Sharing](#) recommended that effective multi-agency working still needs to become more widespread. The Children's Commissioner's 2013 [Inquiry into Child Sexual Exploitation in Gangs and Groups](#) found that both police and local authorities still identified the inability to share information as a key barrier to safeguarding children from sexual abuse and exploitation.

- 4.28 All licensing authorities should operate or establish a means to facilitate the objectives of a MASH (i.e. the sharing of necessary and relevant information between stakeholders). As has been emphasised throughout this document, one of the most effective ways to minimise the risk to children and vulnerable adults when using taxis and private hire vehicles is to ensure that decisions on licensing individuals are made with the fullest knowledge possible.

### Complaints against licensees

- 4.29 Complaints about drivers and operators provide a source of intelligence when considering the renewal of a licence or to identify problems during the period of the licence. Patterns of behaviour such as complaints against drivers, even when they do not result in further action in response to an individual complaint, may be indicative of characteristics that raise doubts over the suitability to hold a licence. **All licensing authorities should have a robust system for recording complaints, including analysing trends across all licensees as well as complaints against individual licensees.** Such a system will help authorities to build a fuller picture of the potential risks an individual may pose and may tip the 'balance of probabilities' assessment that licensing authorities must take.
- 4.30 Licensees with a high number of complaints made against them should be contacted by the licensing authority and concerns raised with the driver and operator (if appropriate). Further action in terms of the licence holder must be determined by the licensing authority, which could include no further action, the offer of training, a formal review of the licence, or formal enforcement action.
- 4.31 To ensure that passengers know who to complain to, licensing authorities should produce guidance for passengers on making complaints directly to the licensing authority that should be available on their website. Ways to make complaint to the authority should be displayed in all licensed vehicles. This is likely to result in additional work for the licensing authority but has the advantage of ensuring consistency in the handling of complaints. Currently, it is more likely that a complaint against a taxi driver would be made directly to the licensing authority whereas a complaint against a private hire vehicle driver is more likely to be made to the operator. An effective partnership in which operators can share concerns regarding drivers is also encouraged.
- 4.32 Importantly, this approach will assist in the directing of complaints and information regarding the behaviour of drivers who may be carrying a passenger outside of the area in which the driver is licensed to the authority that issued the licence. In order for this to be effective licensing authorities must ensure that drivers are aware of a requirement to display information on how to complain and take appropriate sanctions against those that do not comply with this requirement.
- 4.33 In terms of investigating complaints CCTV footage of an incident can provide an invaluable insight, providing an 'independent witness' to an event. This can assist in the decision whether to suspend or revoke a licence. The potential benefits of mandating CCTV in vehicles is discussed in paragraphs 7.7 - 7.12.

### Overseas convictions

- 4.34 The DBS cannot access criminal records held overseas, only foreign convictions that are held on the Police National Computer may, subject to the disclosure rules, be disclosed. Therefore, a DBS check may not provide a complete picture of an individual's criminal record where there have been periods living or working overseas; the same applies when an applicant has previously spent an extended period (three or more continuous months) outside the UK. It should however be noted that some countries will not provide an 'Certificate of Good Character' unless the individual has been resident for six months or more
- 4.35 Licensing authorities should seek or require applicants to provide where possible criminal records information or a 'Certificate of Good Character' from overseas in this circumstance to properly assess risk and support the decision-making process (. It is the character of the applicant as an adult that is of particular interest, therefore an extended period outside the UK before the age of 18 may be less relevant. As with all licensing decisions, each case must be considered on its own merits. For information on applying for overseas criminal record information or 'Certificates of Good Character' please see the Home Office [guidance](#).
- 4.36 Where an individual is aware that they have committed an offence overseas which may be equivalent to those listed in the annex to this document (Annex – Assessment of previous convictions), licensing authorities should advise the applicant to seek independent expert or legal advice to ensure that they provide information that is truthful and accurate.

## 5. Decision Making

### Administration of the licensing framework

- 5.1 A policy is only effective if it is administered properly. The taxi and private hire vehicle licensing functions of local councils are non-executive functions i.e. they are functions of the council rather than the executive (such as the Cabinet). The functions include the determination of licence applications, reviews and renewals, along with the attachment of conditions when considered appropriate. The function may be delegated to a committee, a sub-committee or an officer – which should be set out within a clear scheme of delegation. In London the taxi and private hire vehicle licensing function is undertaken by Transport for London.
- 5.2 Licensing authorities should ensure that all individuals that determine whether a licence is issued or refused are adequately resourced to allow them to discharge the function effectively and correctly.

### Training decision makers

- 5.3 **All individuals that determine whether a licence is issued should be required to undertake sufficient training.** As a minimum, training for a member of a licensing committee should include: licensing procedures, natural justice, understanding the risks of CSAE, disability and equality awareness and the making of difficult and potentially controversial decisions. Training should not simply relate to procedures, but should include the use of case study material to provide context and real scenarios. All training should be formally recorded by the licensing authority and require a signature from the person that has received the training. Training is available from a number of organisations including the Institute of Licensing and Lawyers in Local Government; the LGA may also be able to assist in the development of training packages.
- 5.4 Public safety is the paramount consideration but the discharge of licensing functions must be undertaken in accordance with the following general principles:
- policies should be used as internal guidance, and should be supported by a member/officer code of conduct.
  - any implications of the Human Rights Act should be considered.
  - the rules of natural justice should be observed.
  - decisions must be reasonable and proportionate.
  - where a hearing is required it should be fairly conducted and allow for appropriate consideration of all relevant factors.
  - decision makers must avoid bias (or even the appearance of bias) and predetermination.
  - data protection legislation.

- 5.5 When a decision maker has a prejudicial interest in a case, whether it be financial or a personal relationship with those involved they should declare their interest at the earliest opportunity; this must be prior to any discussions or votes and, once declared, they must leave the room for the duration of the discussion or vote.

### The regulatory structure

- 5.6 It is recommended that councils operate with a Regulatory Committee or Board that is convened at periodic intervals to determine licensing matters, with individual cases being considered by a panel of elected and suitably trained councillors drawn from a larger Regulatory Committee or Board. This model is similar to that frequently adopted in relation to other licensing matters. To facilitate the effective discharge of the functions, less contentious matters can be delegated to appropriately authorised council officers via a transparent scheme of delegation.
- 5.7 It is considered that this approach also ensures the appropriate level of separation between decision makers and those that investigate complaints against licensees, and is the most effective method in allowing the discharge of the functions in accordance with the general principles referred to in 5.4. In particular, the Committee/Board model allows for:
- Each case to be considered on its own merits. It is rare for the same councillors to be involved in frequent hearings – therefore the councillors involved in the decision making process will have less knowledge of previous decisions and therefore are less likely to be influenced by them. Oversight and scrutiny can be provided in relation to the licensing service generally, which can provide independent and impartial oversight of the way that the functions are being discharged within the authority.
  - Clear separation between investigator and the decision maker – this demonstrates independence, and ensures that senior officers can attempt to resolve disputes in relation to service actions without the perception that this involvement will affect their judgement in relation to decisions made at a later date.
- 5.8 Avoidance of bias or even the appearance of bias is vital to ensuring good decisions are made and instilling and/or maintaining confidence in the licensing regime by passengers and licensees.
- 5.9 Unlike officers, elected members are not usually involved in the day to day operation of the service and as such do not have relationships with licence holders that may give the impression that the discharge of a function is affected by the relationship between the decision maker and the licence holder.
- 5.10 Some licensing authorities may decide to operate a system whereby all matters are delegated to a panel of officers; however, this approach is not recommended and caution should be exercised. Decisions must be, and be seen to be, made objectively, avoiding any bias. In addition, it may be more difficult to demonstrate compliance with the principles referred to above due to the close



connection between the officers on the panel, and those involved in the operational discharge of the licensing functions.

- 5.11 Whether the structure proposed is introduced or an alternative model is more appropriate in local circumstances, the objective should remain the same - to separate the investigation of licensing concerns and the management of the licence process. Regardless of which approach is adopted, **all licensing authorities should consider arrangements for dealing with serious matters that may require the immediate revocation of a licence.** It is recommended that this role is delegated to a senior officer/manager with responsibility for the licensing service.

### Fit and proper test

- 5.12 Licensing authorities have a duty to ensure that any person to whom they grant a taxi or private hire vehicle driver's licence is a 'fit and proper' person to be a licensee. It may be helpful when considering whether an applicant or licensee is fit and proper to pose oneself the following question:

**Without any prejudice, and based on the information before you, would you allow a person for whom you care, regardless of their condition, to travel alone in a vehicle driven by this person at any time of day or night?**

- 5.13 If, on the balance of probabilities, the answer to the question is 'no', the individual should not hold a licence.
- 5.14 Licensing authorities have to make difficult decisions but (subject to the points made in paragraph 5.4) the safeguarding of the public is paramount. All decisions on the suitability of an applicant or licensee should be made on the balance of probability. This means that an applicant or licensee should not be 'given the benefit of doubt'. If the committee or delegated officer is only "50/50" as to whether the applicant or licensee is 'fit and proper', they should not hold a licence. The threshold used here is lower than for a criminal conviction (that being beyond reasonable doubt) and can take into consideration conduct that has not resulted in a criminal conviction.

### Criminal convictions and rehabilitation

- 5.15 In considering an individual's criminal record, licensing authorities must consider each case on its merits, but they should take a particularly cautious view of any offences against individuals with special needs, children and other vulnerable groups, particularly those involving violence, those of a sexual nature and those linked to organised crime. In order to achieve consistency, and to mitigate the risk of successful legal challenge, licensing authorities should have a clear policy for the consideration of criminal records. This should include, for example, which offences would prevent an applicant from being licenced regardless of the period elapsed in all but truly exceptional circumstances. In the case of lesser offences, a policy should consider the number of years the authority will require to have elapsed since the commission of particular kinds of offences before they will grant a licence.

- 5.16 Annexed to this document are the Department's recommendations on the assessment of previous convictions (Annex – Assessment of previous convictions). This draws on the work of the Institute of Licensing, in partnership with the LGA, the National Association of Licensing Enforcement Officers (NALEO) and Lawyers in Local Government, in publishing its guidance on determining the suitability of taxi and private hire vehicle licensees.
- 5.17 These periods should be taken as a starting point in considering whether a licence should be granted or renewed in all cases. The Department's view is that this places passenger safety as the priority while enabling past offenders to sufficiently evidence that they have been successfully rehabilitated so that they might obtain a licence. Authorities are however reminded that applicants are entitled to a fair and impartial consideration of their application.



## 6. Driver Licensing

### Criminality checks for drivers

- 6.1 Licensing authorities are entitled to request an enhanced criminal record certificate with check of the barred lists from the DBS for all driver licence holders or applicants. The DfT's 2019 [survey of taxi and private hire vehicle licensing authorities](#) shows that all licensing authorities in England and Wales have a requirement that an enhanced DBS check is undertaken at first application or renewal.
- 6.2 All individuals applying for or renewing a taxi or private hire vehicle drivers licence licensing authorities should carry out a check of the children and adult Barred Lists in addition to being subject to an enhanced DBS check (in section x61 of the DBS application 'Other Workforce' should be entered in line 1 and 'Taxi Licensing' should be entered at line 2). All licensed drivers should also be required to evidence continuous registration with the DBS update service to enable the licensing authority to routinely check for new information every six months. Drivers that do not subscribe up to the Update Service should still be subject to a check every six months.
- 6.3 Driving a taxi or private hire vehicle is not, in itself, a regulated activity for the purposes of the barred list. This means that an individual subject to barring would not be legally prevented from being a taxi or private hire vehicle driver but the licensing authority should take an individual's barred status into account alongside other information available. **In the interests of public safety, licensing authorities should not, as part of their policies, issue a licence to any individual that appears on either barred list.** Should a licensing authority consider there to be exceptional circumstances which means that, based on the balance of probabilities they consider an individual named on a barred list to be 'fit and proper', the reasons for reaching this conclusion should be recorded.
- 6.4 Drivers working under an arrangement to transport children may be working in 'regulated activity' as defined by the [Safeguarding Vulnerable Groups Act 2006](#). It is an offence to knowingly allow a barred individual to work in regulated activity. The [guidance on home-to-school travel and transport](#) issued by the Department for Education should be considered alongside this document. Please see [guidance](#) on driver DBS eligibility and how to apply.

### Safeguarding awareness

- 6.5 Licensing authorities should consider the role that those in the taxi and private hire vehicle industry can play in spotting and reporting the abuse, exploitation or neglect of children and vulnerable adults. As with any group of people, it is overwhelmingly the case that those within the industry can be an asset in the detection and prevention of abuse or neglect of children and vulnerable adults. However, this is only the case if they are aware of and alert to the signs of potential abuse and know where to turn to if they suspect that a child or vulnerable adult is at risk of harm or is in immediate danger.

6.6 All licensing authorities should provide safeguarding advice and guidance to the trade and should require taxi and private hire vehicle drivers to undertake safeguarding training. This is often produced in conjunction with the police and other agencies. These programmes have been developed to help drivers and operators:

- provide a safe and suitable service to vulnerable passengers of all ages;
- recognise what makes a person vulnerable; and
- understand how to respond, including how to report safeguarding concerns and where to get advice.

6.7 Since 2015, the Department for Education (DfE) has run a nationwide campaign – *‘Together, we can tackle child abuse’* which aims to increase public understanding of how to recognise the signs to spot and encourage them to report child abuse and neglect. The DfE continues to promote and raise awareness of the campaign materials through its [online toolkit](#), for local authorities, charities and organisations for use on their social media channels.

### ‘County lines’ exploitation

6.8 County lines is a term used to describe gangs and organised criminal networks involved in exporting illegal drugs (primarily crack cocaine and heroin) into one or more importing areas [within the UK], using dedicated mobile phone lines or other form of “deal line”.

6.9 Exploitation is an integral part of the county lines offending model with children and vulnerable adults exploited to transport (and store) drugs and money between locations. Children aged between 15-17 make up the majority of the vulnerable people involved in county lines, but they may also be much younger. We know that both girls and boys are groomed and exploited and offenders will often use coercion, intimidation, violence (including sexual violence) and weapons to ensure compliance of victims. Children exploited by county lines gangs may have vulnerabilities besides their age, such as broader mental health issues, disrupted or chaotic homes, substance misuse issues, being excluded from school or frequently going missing.

6.10 The National Crime Agency’s 2018 county lines threat assessment set out that the national road network is key to the transportation of county lines victims, drugs and cash; with hire vehicles being one of the methods used for transportation between locations.

6.11 Safeguarding awareness training should include the ways in which drivers can help to identify county lines exploitation. Firstly, they should be aware of the following warning signs:

- Children and young people travelling in taxis or private hire vehicles alone;

- travelling at unusual hours (during school time, early in the morning or late at night);
- travelling long distances;
- unfamiliar with the local area or do not have a local accent;
- paying for journeys in cash or prepaid.

6.12 The Home Office is working with partners to raise awareness of county lines and has provided [material](#) to help taxi and private vehicle hire staff to identify victims and report concerns to protect those exploited through this criminal activity.

6.13 Drivers (or any person) should be aware of what to do if they believe a child or vulnerable person is at risk of harm. If the risk is immediate they should contact the police otherwise they should:

- use the local safeguarding process, the first step of which is usually to contact the safeguarding lead within the local authority;
- call Crime Stoppers on 0800 555 111.

### Language proficiency

6.14 A lack of language proficiency could impact on a driver's ability to understand written documents, such as policies and guidance, relating to the protection of children and vulnerable adults and applying this to identify and act on signs of exploitation. Oral proficiency will be of relevance in the identification of potential exploitation through communicating with passengers and their interaction with others.

6.15 A licensing authority's test of a driver's proficiency should cover both oral and written English language skills to achieve the objectives stated above.

## 7. Vehicle Licensing

- 7.1 As with driver licensing, the objective of vehicle licensing is to protect the public, who trust that the vehicles dispatched are above all else safe. It is important therefore that licensing authorities are assured that those granted a vehicle licence also pose no threat to the public and have no links to serious criminal activity. Although vehicle proprietors may not have direct contact with passengers, they are still entrusted to ensure that the vehicles and drivers used to carry passengers are appropriately licensed and so maintain the safety benefits of the licensing regime.

### Criminality checks for vehicle proprietors

- 7.2 Enhanced DBS and barred list checks are not available for vehicle licensing. **Licensing authorities should require a basic disclosure from the DBS and that a check is undertaken annually.** Any individual may apply for a basic check and the certificate will disclose any unspent convictions recorded on the Police National Computer (PNC). Licensing authorities should consider whether an applicant or licence holder with a conviction for offences provided in the annex to this document (Annex – Assessment of previous convictions), other than those relating to driving, meet the ‘fit and proper’ threshold.
- 7.3 However, it is important that authorities acknowledge that in many cases individuals that license a vehicle may already be licensed as a driver. An authority which undertakes the biannual DBS checks recommended for its drivers should not require those seeking to licence a vehicle to provide a basic DBS check as part of the application process; a basic DBS would not provide any information in addition to that disclosed under the enhanced DBS and barred lists check used for the driver assessment. In these circumstances, the authority should instead rely on the fact that the applicant is considered as fit and proper to hold a driver licence when considering their suitability to hold a vehicle licence. Should the individual cease to hold a driver licence a basic certificate should be required immediately.
- 7.4 A refusal to license an individual as a driver or to suspend or revoke a driver licence does not automatically mean that that individual cannot be issued or continue to hold a vehicle or private hire vehicle operator licence; these decisions must be independent of a driver licence refusal and based on the appropriate information i.e. it should not consider information that would only be available via an enhanced DBS check but instead that which would be disclosed on a basic check. DBS certificate information can only be used for the specific purpose for which it was requested and for which the applicant’s full consent has been given.
- 7.5 Private hire vehicle operator and vehicle licences may be applied for by a company or partnership; licensing authorities should apply the ‘fit and proper’ test to each of the directors or partners in that company or partnership. For this to be effective private hire vehicle operators and those to whom a vehicle licence should be required to advise the licensing authority of any change in directors or partners.

- 7.6 As explained earlier in the context of driver licensing, the DBS cannot access criminal records held overseas so other checks must be considered where and applicant has lived or worked overseas (see paragraph 4.34 - 4.36).

### **In-vehicle visual and audio recording – CCTV**

- 7.7 Government has acknowledged the potential risk to public safety when passengers travel in taxis and private hire vehicles. It is unfortunately the case that no matter how complete the information available to licensing authorities is when assessing whether to issue any taxi or private hire vehicle licence, nor how robust the policies in place are and the rigor with which they are applied, it will never completely remove the possibility of harm to passengers by drivers.
- 7.8 The Department's view is that CCTV can provide additional deterrence to prevent this and investigative value when it does. The use of CCTV can provide a safer environment for the benefit of taxi/private hire vehicle passengers and drivers by:
- deterring and preventing the occurrence of crime;
  - reducing the fear of crime;
  - assisting the police in investigating incidents of crime;
  - assisting insurance companies in investigating motor vehicle accidents.
- 7.9 All licensing authorities should consult to identify if there are local circumstances which indicate that the installation of CCTV in vehicles would have either a positive or an adverse net effect on the safety of taxi and private hire vehicle users, including children or vulnerable adults, and taking into account potential privacy issues.
- 7.10 While only a small minority of licensing authorities have so far mandated all vehicles to be fitted with CCTV systems, the experience of those authorities that have has been positive for both passengers and drivers. In addition, the evidential benefits of CCTV may increase the level of reporting of sexual offences. According to the [Crime Survey for England and Wales](#) only 17 percent of victims report their experiences to the police, 28 percent of rape or sexual assault victims indicated that a fear they would not be believed as a factor in them not reporting the crime. The evidential benefits CCTV could provide are therefore an important factor when considering CCTV in vehicles.
- 7.11 The mandating of CCTV in vehicles may deter people from seeking a taxi or private hire vehicle licence with the intent of causing harm. Those that gain a licence and consider perpetrating an opportunistic attack against a vulnerable unaccompanied passenger may be deterred from doing so. It is however unfortunately the case that offences may still occur even with CCTV operating.
- 7.12 CCTV systems that are able to record audio as well as visual data may also help the early identification of drivers that exhibit inappropriate behaviour toward passengers. Audio recording should be both overt (i.e. all parties should be aware when recordings are being made) and targeted (i.e. only when passengers (or

drivers) consider it necessary). The recording of audio should be used to provide an objective record of events such as disputes or inappropriate behaviour and must not be continuously active by default and should recognise the need for privacy of passengers' private conversations between themselves. Activation of the audio recording capability of a system might be instigated when either the passenger or driver operates a switch or button.

- 7.13 Imposition of a blanket requirement to attach CCTV as a condition to a licence is likely to give rise to concerns about the proportionality of such an approach and will therefore require an appropriately strong justification and must be kept under regular review. More information and guidance on assessing the impacts of CCTV and on an authority mandating CCTV is annexed to this document (Annex – CCTV guidance).

### Stretched Limousines

- 7.14 Licensing authorities are sometimes asked to license small (those constructed or adapted to carry fewer than nine passengers) limousines as private hire vehicles, these vehicles may be used for transport to 'school proms' as well as for adult bookings. It is suggested that licensing authorities should approach such requests on the basis that these vehicles – where they have fewer than nine passenger seats - have a legitimate role to play in the private hire trade, meeting a public demand. It is the Department's view that it is not a legitimate course of action for licensing authorities to adopt policies that exclude limousines as a matter of principle thereby excluding these services from the scope of the private hire vehicle regime and the safety benefits this provides. A blanket policy of excluding limousines may create an unacceptable risk to the travelling public, as it may lead to higher levels of unsupervised operation. Public safety considerations are best supported by policies that allow respectable, safe operators to obtain licences on the same basis as other private hire vehicle operators.
- 7.15 Stretched large limousines which clearly seat more than eight passengers should not be licensed as private hire vehicles because they are outside the licensing regime for private hire vehicles. However, in some circumstances a vehicle with space for more than eight passengers can be licensed as a private hire vehicle where the precise number of passenger seats is hard to determine. In these circumstances, the authority should consider the case on its merits in deciding whether to license the vehicle under the strict condition that the vehicle will not be used to carry more than eight passengers, bearing in mind that refusal may encourage illegal private hire operation.



## 8. Private Hire Vehicle Operator Licensing

- 8.1 As with driver licensing, the objective in licensing private hire vehicle operators is to protect the public, who may be using operators' premises and trusting that the drivers and vehicles dispatched are above all else safe. It is important therefore that licensing authorities are assured that those that are granted a private hire vehicle operator also pose no threat to the public and have no links to serious criminal activity. Although private hire vehicle operators may not have direct contact with passengers, they are still entrusted to ensure that the vehicles and drivers used to carry passengers are appropriately licensed and so maintain the safety benefits of the driver licensing regime.

### Criminality checks for private hire vehicle operators

- 8.2 Enhanced DBS and barred list checks are not available for private hire vehicle operator licensing. **Licensing authorities should request a basic disclosure from the DBS and that a check is undertaken annually.** Any individual may apply for a basic check and the certificate will disclose any unspent convictions recorded on the Police National Computer (PNC). Licensing authorities should consider whether an applicant or licence holder with a conviction for offences provided in the annex to this document (Annex – Assessment of previous convictions), other than those relating to driving, meet the 'fit and proper' threshold.
- 8.3 However, it is important that authorities acknowledge that in many cases individuals that license as a private hire vehicle operator may already be licensed as a driver. An authority which undertakes the biannual DBS checks recommended for its drivers should not require those seeking a private hire vehicle operator licence to provide a basic DBS check as part of the application process; a basic DBS would not provide any information in addition to that disclosed under the enhanced DBS and barred lists check used for the driver assessment. In these circumstances, the authority should instead rely on the fact that the applicant is considered as fit and proper to hold a driver licence when considering their suitability to hold a vehicle licence. Should the individual cease to hold a driver licence a basic certificate should be required immediately
- 8.4 Refusal to license an individual as a driver or to suspend or revoke a driver licence does not automatically mean that that individual cannot be issued or continue to hold a private hire vehicle operator licence; this decision must be independent of a driver licence refusal and based on the appropriate information i.e. it should not consider information that would only be available via an enhanced DBS check but instead that which would be disclosed on a basic check. DBS certificate information can only be used for the specific purpose for which it was requested and for which the applicant's full consent has been given.
- 8.5 A private hire vehicle operator licence may be applied for by a company or partnership; licensing authorities should apply the 'fit and proper' test to each of the directors or partners in that company or partnership. For this to be effective

private hire vehicle operators should be required to advise the licensing authority of any change in directors or partners.

- 8.6 As explained earlier in the context of driver licensing, the DBS cannot access criminal records held overseas. Further information on assessing the suitability of those that have spent extended periods in overseas is provided in paragraphs 4.34 - 4.36.

### Booking and dispatch staff

- 8.7 Private hire vehicle drivers are not the only direct contact that private hire vehicle users have with private hire vehicle operators' staff, for example a person taking bookings (be it by phone or in person). A vehicle dispatcher decides which driver to send to a user, a position that could be exploited by those seeking to exploit children and vulnerable adults. It is therefore appropriate that all staff that have contact with private hire vehicle users and the dispatching of vehicles should not present an undue risk to the public or the safeguarding of children and vulnerable adults.
- 8.8 Licensing authorities should be satisfied that private hire vehicle operators can demonstrate that all staff that have contact with the public and/or oversee the dispatching of vehicles do not pose a risk to the public. **Licensing authorities should, as a condition of granting an operator licence, require a register of all staff that will take bookings or dispatch vehicles is kept.**
- 8.9 Operators should be required to evidence that they have had sight of a Basic DBS check on all individuals listed on their register of booking and dispatch staff and to ensure that Basic DBS checks are conducted on any individuals added to the register and that this is compatible with their policy on employing ex-offenders. DBS certificates provided by the individual should be recently issued when viewed, alternatively the operator could use a '[responsible organisation](#)' to request the check on their behalf. When individuals start taking bookings and dispatching vehicles for an operator they should be required, as part of their employment contract, to advise the operator of any convictions while they are employed in this role.
- 8.10 The register should be a 'living document' that maintains records of all those in these roles for the same duration as booking records are required to be kept, this will enable cross-referencing between the two records. A record that the operator has had sight of a basic DBS check certificate (although the certificate itself should not be retained) should be retained for the duration that the individual remains on the register. Should an employee cease to be on the register and later re-entered, a new basic DBS certificate should be requested and sight of this recorded.
- 8.11 Operators may outsource booking and dispatch functions but they cannot pass on the obligation to protect children and vulnerable adults. Operators should be required to evidence that comparable protections are applied by the company to which they outsource these functions.



- 8.12 Licensing authorities should also require operators or applicants for a licence to provide their policy on employing ex-offenders in roles that would be on the register as above. As with the threshold to obtaining a private hire vehicle operators' licence, those with a conviction for offences provided in the annex to this document (Annex – Assessment of previous convictions), other than those relating to driving, may not be suitable to decide who is sent to carry a child or vulnerable adult unaccompanied in a car.

### Record keeping

- 8.13 Section 56 of the [Local Government \(Miscellaneous Provisions\) Act 1976](#) requires private hire vehicle operators to keep records of the particulars of every booking invited or accepted, whether it is from the passenger or at the request of another operator. **Licensing authorities should as a minimum require private hire vehicle operators to record the following information for each booking:**

- the name of the passenger;
- the time of the request;
- the pick-up point;
- the destination;
- the name of the driver;
- the driver's licence number;
- the vehicle registration number of the vehicle;
- the name of any individual that responded to the booking request;
- the name of any individual that dispatched the vehicle.

- 8.14 This information will enable the passenger to be traced if this becomes necessary and should improve driver security and facilitate enforcement. It is suggested that booking records should be retained for a minimum of six months.

- 8.15 Private hire vehicle operators have a duty under data protection legislation to protect the information they record. The Information Commissioner's Office provides comprehensive on-line guidance on registering as a data controller and how to meet their obligations.

### Use of passenger carrying vehicles (PCV) licensed drivers

- 8.16 PCV licensed drivers are subject to different checks from taxi and private hire vehicle licensed drivers as the work normally undertaken, i.e. driving a bus, does not present the same risk to passengers. Members of the public are entitled to expect when making a booking with a private hire vehicle operator that they will receive a private hire vehicle licensed vehicle and driver. **The use of a driver who holds a PCV licence and the use of a public service vehicle (PSV) such**

**as a minibus to undertake a private hire vehicle booking should not be permitted as a condition of the private hire vehicle operator's licence without the informed consent of the booker.**

- 8.17 Where a private hire vehicle is unsuitable, for example where a larger vehicle is needed because more than eight passenger seats required or to accommodate luggage, the booker should be informed that a PSV is necessary, and that a PCV licenced driver will be used who is subject to different checks and not required to have an enhanced DBS check.

## 9. Enforcing the Licensing Regime

- 9.1 Implementing an effective framework for licensing authorities to ensure that as full a range of information made available to suitably trained decision makers that are supported by well-resourced officials is essential to a well-functioning taxi and private hire vehicle sector. These steps will help prevent the licensing of those that are not deemed 'fit and proper' but does not ensure that those already licensed continue to display the behaviours and standards expected.

### Joint authorisation of enforcement officers

- 9.2 Licensing authorities should, where the need arises, jointly authorise officers from other authorities so that compliance and enforcement action can be taken against licensees from outside their area. An agreement between licensing authorities to jointly authorise officers enables the use of enforcement powers regardless of which authority within the agreement the officer is employed by and which issued the licence. This will mitigate the opportunities for drivers to evade regulation. Such an agreement will enable those authorities to take action against vehicles and drivers that are licensed by the other authority when they cross over boundaries. A model for agreeing joint authorisation is contained in the [LGA Councillors' handbook](#).

### Setting expectations and monitoring

- 9.3 Licensing authorities should ensure that drivers are aware of the policies that they must adhere to and are properly informed of what is expected of them and the repercussions for failing to do so. Some licensing authorities operate a points-based system, which allows minor breaches to be recorded and considered in context while referring those with persistent or serious breaches to the licensing committee. This has the benefit of consistency in enforcement and makes better use of the licensing committee's time.
- 9.4 The provision of a clear, simple and well-publicised process for the public to make complaints about drivers and operators will enable authorities to target compliance and enforcement activity (see paragraphs 4.29 - 4.33). This will provide a further source of intelligence when considering the renewal of licences and of any additional training that may be required. It is then for the licensing authority to consider if any intelligence indicates a need to suspend or revoke a licence in the interests of public safety.

### Suspension and revocation of driver licences

- 9.5 Section 61 of the Local Government (Miscellaneous Provisions) Act 1976 provides a licensing authority with the ability to suspend or revoke a driver's licence on the following grounds: -

(a) that he has since the grant of the licence—

- (i) been convicted of an offence involving dishonesty, indecency or violence; or
- (ii) been convicted of an offence under or has failed to comply with the provisions of the Act of 1847 or of this Part of this Act;
- (aa) that he has since the grant of the licence been convicted of an immigration offence or required to pay an immigration penalty; or
- (b) any other reasonable cause

- 9.6 Licensing authorities have the option to suspend or revoke a licence should information be received that causes concern over whether a driver is a fit and proper person. Where the licence holder has been served an immigration penalty or convicted of an immigration offence the licence should be revoked immediately. [Guidance for licensing authorities](#) to prevent illegal working in the taxi and private hire vehicle sector has been issued by the Home Office. As with the initial decision to license a driver, this determination must be reached based on the balance of probabilities, not on the burden of beyond reasonable doubt.
- 9.7 Before any decision is made, the licensing authority must give full consideration to the available evidence and the driver should be given the opportunity to state his or her case. If a period of suspension is imposed, it cannot be extended or changed to revocation at a later date.
- 9.8 A decision to revoke a licence does not however prevent the reissuing of a licence should further information be received that alters the balance of probability of a decision previously made. The decision to suspend or revoke was based on the evidence available at the time the determination was made. New evidence may, of course, become available later.
- 9.9 New evidence may be produced at an appeal hearing that may result in the court reaching a different decision to that reached by the council or an appeal may be settled by agreement between the licensing authority and the driver on terms which, in the light of new evidence, becomes the appropriate course. If, for example, the allegations against a driver were now, on the balance of probability, considered to be unfounded, a suspension could be lifted or, if the licence was revoked, an expedited re-licensing process used.
- 9.10 A suspension may still be appropriate if it is believed that a minor issue can be addressed through additional training. In this instance the licence would be returned to the driver once the training has been completed without further consideration. This approach is clearly not appropriate where the licensing authority believes that, based on the information available at that time, on the balance of probability it is considered that the driver presents a risk to public safety.

## Annex – Assessment of Previous Convictions

Legislation specifically identifies offences involving dishonesty, indecency or violence as a concern when assessing whether an individual is 'fit and proper' to hold a taxi or private hire vehicle licence. The following recommendations to licensing authorities on previous convictions reflect this.

**Authorities must consider each case on its own merits, and applicants/licensees are entitled to a fair and impartial consideration of their application.** Where a period is given below, it should be taken to be a minimum in considering whether a licence should be granted or renewed in most cases. The Department's view is that this places passenger safety as the priority while enabling past offenders to sufficiently evidence that they have been successfully rehabilitated so that they might obtain or retain a licence.

### Crimes resulting in death

Where an applicant or licensee has been convicted of a crime which resulted in the death of another person or was intended to cause the death or serious injury of another person they will not be licensed.

### Exploitation

Where an applicant or licensee has been convicted of a crime involving, related to, or has any connection with abuse, exploitation, use or treatment of another individual irrespective of whether the victim or victims were adults or children, they will not be licensed. This includes slavery, child sexual abuse, exploitation, grooming, psychological, emotional or financial abuse, but this is not an exhaustive list.

### Offences involving violence against the person

Where an applicant has a conviction for an offence of violence against the person, or connected with any offence of violence, a licence will not be granted until at least 10 years have elapsed since the completion of any sentence imposed.

### Possession of a weapon

Where an applicant has a conviction for possession of a weapon or any other weapon related offence, a licence will not be granted until at least seven years have elapsed since the completion of any sentence imposed.

### Sexual offences

Where an applicant has a conviction for any offence involving or connected with illegal sexual activity, a licence will not be granted.

In addition to the above, the licensing authority will not grant a licence to any applicant who is currently on the Sex Offenders Register or on any barred list.

### Dishonesty

Where an applicant has a conviction for any offence where dishonesty is an element of the offence, a licence will not be granted until at least seven years have elapsed since the completion of any sentence imposed.

### Drugs

Where an applicant has any conviction for, or related to, the supply of drugs, or possession with intent to supply or connected with possession with intent to supply, a licence will not be granted until at least 10 years have elapsed since the completion of any sentence imposed.

Where an applicant has a conviction for possession of drugs, or related to the possession of drugs, a licence will not be granted until at least five years have elapsed since the completion of any sentence imposed. In these circumstances, any applicant may also have to undergo drugs testing for a period at their own expense to demonstrate that they are not using controlled drugs.

### Discrimination

Where an applicant has a conviction involving or connected with discrimination in any form, a licence will not be granted until at least seven years have elapsed since the completion of any sentence imposed.

### Motoring convictions

Hackney carriage and private hire drivers are professional drivers charged with the responsibility of carrying the public. It is accepted that offences can be committed unintentionally, and a single occurrence of a minor traffic offence would not prohibit the granting of a licence. However, applicants with multiple motoring convictions may indicate that an applicant does not exhibit the behaviours of a safe road user and one that is suitable to drive professionally.

Any motoring conviction while a licensed driver demonstrates that the licensee may not take their professional responsibilities seriously. However, it is accepted that offences can be committed unintentionally, and a single occurrence of a minor traffic offence may not necessitate the revocation of a taxi or private hire vehicle driver licence providing the authority considers that the licensee remains a fit and proper person to retain a licence.

### Drink driving/driving under the influence of drugs

Where an applicant has a conviction for drink driving or driving under the influence of drugs, a licence will not be granted until at least seven years have elapsed since the completion of any sentence or driving ban imposed. In the case of driving under the influence of drugs, any applicant may also have to undergo drugs testing at their own expense to demonstrate that they are not using controlled drugs.

### Using a hand-held device whilst driving

Where an applicant has a conviction for using a held-hand mobile telephone or a hand-held device whilst driving, a licence will not be granted until at least five years have elapsed since the conviction or completion of any sentence or driving ban imposed, whichever is the later.

## Annex – Disclosure and Barring Service information

Table 1: Information included in criminal record checks

Information included	Type of check			
	Basic check	Standard DBS check	Enhanced DBS check	Enhanced DBS (including barred list) check
<b>Unspent convictions</b>	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
<b>Unspent cautions</b> <sup>1</sup>	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
<b>Spent convictions</b> <sup>2</sup>	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
<b>Spent cautions</b> <sup>1 &amp; 2</sup>	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
<b>Additional police Information</b> <sup>3</sup>	No	No	Yes	Yes
<b>Barred list(s) Information</b> <sup>4</sup>	No	No	No	Yes

1. Does not include fixed penalty notices, penalty notices for disorder or any other police or other out-of-court disposals.
2. Spent convictions and cautions that have become protected under the Rehabilitation of Offenders Act 1974 (Exceptions Order) 1975, as amended, are not automatically disclosed on any level of certificate. Further guidance is available [the DBS filtering guide](#).
3. This is any additional information held by the police which a chief police officer reasonably believes to be relevant and considers ought to be disclosed.
4. This is information as to whether the individual concerned is included in the children's or adults' barred lists maintained by the Disclosure and Barring Service (DBS).

## Annex – CCTV Guidance

It is important to note that, in most circumstances, a licensing authority which mandates the installation of CCTV systems in taxis and private hire vehicles will be responsible for the data – the data controller. It is important that data controllers fully consider concerns regarding privacy and licensing authorities should consider how systems are configured, should they mandate CCTV (with or without audio recording). For example, vehicles may not be exclusively used for business, also serving as a car for personal use - it should therefore be possible to manually switch the system off (both audio and visual recording) when not being used for hire. Authorities should consider the Information Commissioner's view on this matter that, in most cases, a requirement for continuous operation is unlikely to be fair and lawful processing of personal data.

The Home Office '[Surveillance Camera Code of Practice](#)' advises that government is fully supportive of the use of overt surveillance cameras in a public place whenever that use is:

- in pursuit of a legitimate aim;
- necessary to meet a pressing need;
- proportionate;
- effective, and;
- compliant with any relevant legal obligations

The Code also sets out 12 guiding principles which, as a 'relevant authority' under section 33(5) of the [Protection of Freedoms Act 2012](#), licensing authorities must have regard to. It must be noted that, where a licence is granted subject to CCTV system conditions, the licensing authority assumes the role and responsibility of 'System Operator'. The role requires consideration of all guiding principles in this code. The failure to comply with these principles may be detrimental to the use of CCTV evidence in court as this may be raised within disclosure to the Crown Prosecution Service and may be taken into account.

The Surveillance Camera Commissioner (SCC) has provided guidance on the Surveillance Camera Code of Practice in its '[Passport to Compliance](#)' which provides guidance on the necessary stages when planning, implementing and operating a surveillance camera system to ensure it complies with the code. The Information Commissioner's Office (ICO) has also published a [code of practice](#) which, in this context, focuses on the data governance requirement associated with the use of CCTV such as data retention and disposal, which it is important to follow in order to comply with the data protection principles. The SCC provides a [self-assessment tool](#) to assist operators to ensure compliance with the principles set out in the Surveillance Camera Code of Practice. The SCC also operate a [certification scheme](#); authorities that obtain this accreditation are able to clearly demonstrate that their systems conform to the SCC's best practice and are fully compliant with the Code and increase public confidence that any risks to their privacy have been fully considered and mitigated.

The [Data Protection Act 2018](#) regulates the use of personal data. Part 2 of the Data Protection Act applies to the general processing of personal data, and references and supplements the General Data Protection Regulation. Licensing authorities, as data controllers, must comply with all relevant aspects of data protection law. Particular attention should be paid to the rights of individuals which include the right to be informed, of access



and to erasure. The ICO has provided detailed [guidance](#) on how data controllers can ensure compliance with these.

It is a further requirement of data protection law that before implementing a proposal that is likely to result in a high risk to the rights and freedoms of people, an impact assessment on the protection of personal data shall be carried out. The ICO recommends in [guidance](#) that if there is any doubt as to whether a Data Protection Impact Assessment (DPIA) is required one should be conducted to ensure compliance and encourage best practice. A DPIA will also help to assess properly the anticipated benefits of installing CCTV (to passengers and drivers) and the associated privacy risks; these risks might be mitigated by having appropriate privacy information and signage, secure storage and access controls, retention policies, training for staff how to use the system, etc.

It is essential to ensure that all recordings made are secure and can only be accessed by those with legitimate grounds to do so. This would normally be the police if investigating an alleged crime or the licensing authority if investigating a complaint or data access request. Encryption of the recording to which the licensing authority, acting as the data controller, holds the key, mitigates this issue and protects against theft of the vehicle or device. It is one of the guiding principles of data protection legislation, that personal data (including in this context, CCTV recordings and other potentially sensitive passenger information) is handled securely in a way that 'ensures appropriate security', including protection against unauthorised or unlawful processing and against accidental loss, destruction or damage, using appropriate technical or organisational measures.

All passengers must be made fully aware if CCTV is operating in a vehicle. Given that audio recording is considered to be more privacy intrusive, it is even more important that individuals are fully aware and limited only to occasions when passengers (or drivers) consider it necessary. The recording of audio should be used to provide an objective record of events such as disputes or inappropriate behaviour and must not be continuously active by default and should recognise the need for privacy of passengers' private conversations between themselves. Activation of the audio recording capability of a system might be instigated when either the passenger or driver operates a switch or button. As well as clear signage in vehicles, information on booking systems should be introduced. This might be text on a website, scripts or automated messages on telephone systems; the Information Commissioner's Office (ICO) has issued guidance on privacy information and the right to be informed on its website.

## Annex - Staying Safe: Guidance for Passengers

Licensing authorities should provide guidance to assist passengers in identifying licensed vehicles and the increased risks of using unlicensed vehicles. The guidance might include advice on:

- how to tell if a taxi or private hire vehicle is licensed.

Educate the public in the differences between taxis and private hire vehicles e.g.:

- a taxi can be flagged down or pre-booked.
- a private hire vehicle that has not been pre-booked should not be used as it will not be insured and may not be licensed.
- what a private hire vehicle should look like e.g. colour, signage, licence plates etc.
- the benefit of pre-booking a return vehicle before going out.
- arrange to be picked up from a safe meeting point.
- requesting at the time of booking what the fare is likely to be.

When using a private hire vehicle, passengers should always:

- book with a licensed operator.
- confirm their booking with the driver when s/he arrives.
- note the licence number.
- sit in the back, behind the driver.
- let a third party know details of their journey.

When using a taxi, passengers should where possible:

- use a taxi rank and choose one staffed by taxi marshals if available.

**LICENSING  
COMMITTEE**9<sup>th</sup> November 2020**HACKNEY CARRIAGE AND PRIVATE HIRE VEHICLE AGE LIMITS**

Relevant Portfolio Holder	Councillor Grubb
Portfolio Holder Consulted	Yes
Relevant Head of Service	Simon Wilkes – Head of Worcestershire Regulatory Services
Wards Affected	All Wards
Ward Councillor Consulted	N/A
Non-Key Decision	

**1. SUMMARY OF PROPOSALS**

Members are asked to consider the age limits for vehicles that are set out in the Council's Hackney Carriage and Private Hire Vehicle Licensing Policies and direct officers as to what steps (if any) they wish them to take with a view to amending the age limits contained in those policies.

**2. RECOMMENDATIONS**

**Members are asked to consider the content of the report and RESOLVE to direct officers as to what steps (if any) they wish them to take with a view to amending the age limits for licensed vehicles that are set out in the Council's Hackney Carriage and Private Hire Vehicle Licensing Policies.**

**3. KEY ISSUES****Financial Implications**

- 3.1 The costs involved in carrying out steps to amend the current vehicle age limits, including any required consultations, would need to be met from existing budgets held by Worcestershire Regulatory Services.

**Legal Implications**

- 3.2 Council's are free to adopt policies on the licensing of hackney carriage and private hire vehicles. These policies can include requirements relating to the age of vehicles that the Council will consider issuing licences in respect of. However each case must be considered on its own merits and the Council can depart from its own policies and grant a licence in respect of a vehicle that does not meet the normal requirements in policy where there are exceptional reasons for doing so.

**LICENSING  
COMMITTEE**9<sup>th</sup> November 2020

---

**Service / Operational Implications**

- 3.3 The Council's existing Private Hire Vehicle Policy was last amended with effect from 1<sup>st</sup> August 2013 and the Council's Hackney Carriage Vehicle Policy was last amended with effect from 1<sup>st</sup> December 2017.
- 3.4 These policies both contain requirements in relation to the age of vehicles. A summary of the current vehicle age requirements is shown in the table at **Appendix 1**.
- 3.5 It is open to applicants to request that the Council departs from its normal policies if there are exceptional reasons for doing so. Where a person submits an application to licence a vehicle that does not meet the Council's policy, the application is normally referred to a Licensing Sub-Committee for consideration and determination.
- 3.6 In November 2016 and then again in November 2018, the Licensing Committee has considered reports asking them whether they wished to review the vehicle age limits in light of the number of applications being granted by Members at Licensing Sub-Committees, where the vehicle fell outside the age limit requirements set out in Policy.
- 3.7 In November 2016, the Licensing Committee was made aware that in the period 1<sup>st</sup> January 2016 to 14<sup>th</sup> September 2016, 9 applications for the grant of a licence in respect of a vehicle that exceeded the Council's age limits were considered at Licensing Sub-Committees with 8 of these granted and 1 refused.
- 3.8 Notwithstanding this the Licensing Committee decided there was no need for officers to take steps to review the vehicle age limits in place at that time.
- 3.9 In November 2018 the Licensing Committee were provided with further figures which showed that between September 2016 and September 2018, a total of 36 applications for the grant of a licence in respect of a vehicle that exceeded the Council's age limits were considered at Licensing Sub-Committees with 31 of these granted and just 5 refused.
- 3.10 Members therefore resolved that officers be tasked with carrying out a consultation exercise in relation to amending the age limits for vehicles to those shown at **Appendix 2**.

**LICENSING  
COMMITTEE**9<sup>th</sup> November 2020

---

- 3.11 The results of this consultation were considered at the Licensing Committee meeting on 4<sup>th</sup> March 2019. Despite overwhelming support from respondents for amending the vehicle age limits as suggested, Members ultimately resolved not to make any changes to the Council's policies and the age limits set out at **Appendix 1** remain in place today.
- 3.12 At the Licensing Committee meeting on 4<sup>th</sup> November 2019, Members agreed to add an item to their work programme to give further consideration of the rules around age criteria for vehicles, including consideration of vehicles that produced lower emissions. This report has been produced as a result.
- 3.13 Between October 2018 and the end of January 2020 a further 55 applications for the grant of a licence in respect of a vehicle that exceeded the Council's age limits were considered at Licensing Sub-Committees with 51 of these granted and just 4 refused.
- 3.14 This means that between 1<sup>st</sup> January 2016 and 31<sup>st</sup> January 2020, a total of 100 applications for the grant of a licence in respect of a vehicle that exceeded the Council's age limits have been considered at Licensing Sub-Committees with 90 of these granted and just 10 applications refused.
- 3.15 Since March 2020, decisions in respect of the licensing of vehicles that fall outside of the Council's age policies have been delegated to officers as a result of coronavirus related restrictions. Since March 2020 officers have considered 22 applications for the grant of a licence in respect of a vehicle that exceeded the Council's age limits with 21 of these granted and 1 refused.
- 3.16 Officers would suggest that the fact that both Members and officers are continuing to find reason to depart from the Council's policies in so many of the cases they determine would suggest that there is a strong case to argue that the policies need to be reviewed and amended.
- 3.17 Members are also reminded that preparing for and attending Licensing Sub-Committees is costly and time consuming for both the Council and licence applicants and that Redditch Taxi Association continues to lobby for a relaxation of the age limits contained in the Council's vehicle licensing policies.
- 3.18 Officers are aware that some other local authorities are looking to use their taxi and private hire vehicle licensing policies to encourage the use of less polluting vehicles to address concerns about air quality.

**LICENSING  
COMMITTEE**9<sup>th</sup> November 2020

---

- 3.19 Within Worcestershire, the Environment Committee at Worcester City Council has established a “Task and Finish Group” to develop a Taxi Strategy. Part of this wide ranging project involves developing proposals for changes to the Council’s policies to remove the most polluting vehicles from the licensed fleet and to encourage take up of electric and other low / zero emission vehicles.
- 3.20 Birmingham City Council has already introduced a series of new policies in preparation for the implementation of the Clean Air Charging Zone in Birmingham next year. Under these policies, the maximum age limit for ultra low emission vehicles is higher than for other vehicles.
- 3.21 Coventry City Council has also introduced new policies that are designed to gradually achieve a fleet of zero emission capable taxi and private hire vehicles by the end of 2025. Since 1<sup>st</sup> January 2020, vehicles licences would not be renewed unless the vehicle met the Euro 4 emissions standards. From 1<sup>st</sup> January 2022 vehicles will need to meet the Euro 5 emissions standard to be capable of having licences renewed and from 1<sup>st</sup> January 2024 vehicle licences will only be renewed for vehicles that are zero emission capable.
- 3.22 Officers believe that any review undertaken of Redditch Borough Council’s age limits for licensed vehicles should take account of vehicle emissions standards with a view to moving towards a fleet of licensed vehicles that is less polluting.
- 3.23 In light of the information set out in this report, Members are asked to direct officers as to what steps (if any) they wish them to take with a view to amending the age limits for licensed vehicles that are set out in the Council’s Hackney Carriage and Private Hire Vehicle Licensing Policies.

**4. RISK MANAGEMENT**

- 4.1 None

**5. APPENDICES**

Appendix 1 – Existing Age Limits for Licensed Vehicles

Appendix 2 – Age Limits consulted upon in November 2018

**LICENSING  
COMMITTEE**

9<sup>th</sup> November 2020

---

**AUTHOR OF REPORT**

Name: Dave Etheridge – Senior Practitioner (Licensing)  
Worcestershire Regulatory Services

E Mail: [dave.etheridge@worcsregservices.gov.uk](mailto:dave.etheridge@worcsregservices.gov.uk)

Tel: (01905) 822799

This page is intentionally left blank



## Appendix 1

	Current Policy - Hackney Carriage	Current Policy - Private Hire
New (additional) vehicles being licensed	Must be <u>wheelchair accessible</u> and under 6 years of age.	Must be under 6 years of age
Replacement vehicles	Must be under 6 years of age (and wheelchair accessible if replacing a wheelchair accessible vehicle)	Must be under 6 years of age
On Renewal	Can be no older than 9 years of age unless wheelchair accessible when it can be no older than 12 years of age.	Can be no older than 9 years of age unless wheelchair accessible when it can be no older than 12 years of age.
Inspections	<p>Vehicles up to 9 years of age must be inspected twice per year.</p> <p>Vehicles over 9 years of age must be inspected three times per year.</p>	<p>Vehicles up to 9 years of age must be inspected twice per year.</p> <p>Vehicles over 9 years of age must be inspected three times per year.</p>

This page is intentionally left blank

## Appendix 2

	Hackney Carriage	Private Hire
New (additional) vehicles being licensed	Must be <u>wheelchair accessible</u> and under 7 years of age.	Must be under 7 years of age
Replacement vehicles	Must be under 7 years of age (and wheelchair accessible if replacing a wheelchair accessible vehicle)	Must be under 7 years of age
On Renewal	Can be no older than 10 years of age unless wheelchair accessible when it can be no older than 12 years of age.	Can be no older than 10 years of age unless wheelchair accessible when it can be no older than 12 years of age.
Inspections	<p>Vehicles up to 9 years of age must be inspected twice per year.</p> <p>Vehicles over 9 years of age must be inspected three times per year.</p>	<p>Vehicles up to 9 years of age must be inspected twice per year.</p> <p>Vehicles over 9 years of age must be inspected three times per year.</p>

This page is intentionally left blank

**REDDITCH BOROUGH COUNCIL****LICENSING  
COMMITTEE****9<sup>th</sup> November 2020****HACKNEY CARRIAGE TABLE OF FARES**

Relevant Portfolio Holder	Councillor Grubb
Portfolio Holder Consulted	No
Relevant Head of Service	Simon Wilkes – Head of Worcestershire Regulatory Services
Wards Affected	All Wards
Ward Councillor Consulted	N/A
Non-Key Decision	

**1. SUMMARY OF PROPOSALS**

The Council has responsibility under the Local Government (Miscellaneous Provisions) Act 1976, for setting the maximum fares that can be charged by hackney carriage vehicles licensed to operate within the district. It is good practise to review the table of fares on a regular basis.

**2. RECOMMENDATIONS**

**That the Licensing Committee note the contents of the report and that no further action is required at the present time.**

**3. KEY ISSUES****Financial Implications**

- 3.1 The costs of advertising any proposed variations to the table of fares for hackney carriages would be met from existing budgets held by Worcestershire Regulatory Services.

**Legal Implications**

- 3.2 Section 65 (1) of the Local Government (Miscellaneous Provisions) Act 1976 states that a district council may fix the rates or fares within the district as well for time as distance, and all other charges in connection with the hire of a vehicle or with the arrangements for the hire of a vehicle, to be paid in respect of the hire of hackney carriages by means of a table (hereafter in this section referred to as a “table of fares”) made or varied in accordance with the provisions of this section.

**REDDITCH BOROUGH COUNCIL****LICENSING  
COMMITTEE****9<sup>th</sup> November 2020**

---

- 3.3 Section 65(2) of the Local Government (Miscellaneous Provisions) Act 1976 goes on to state:
- a) When a district council make or vary a table of fares they shall publish in at least one local newspaper circulating in the district a notice setting out the table of fares or the variation thereof and specifying the period, which shall not be less than fourteen days from the date of the first publication of the notice, within which and the manner in which objections to the table of fares or variation can be made.
  - b) A copy of the notice referred to in paragraph (a) of this subsection shall for the period of fourteen days from the date of the first publication thereof be deposited at the offices of the council which published the notice, and shall at all reasonable hours be open to public inspection without payment.
- 3.4 If no objection to a table of fares or variation is duly made within the period specified in the notice referred to in section 65 (2), or if all objections so made are withdrawn, the table of fares or variation shall come into operation on the date of the expiration of the period specified in the notice or the date of withdrawal of the objection or, if more than one, of the last objection, whichever date is the later.
- 3.5 If objections are made and are not withdrawn, the district council must set a further date, not later than two months after the first specified date, on which the table of fares shall come into force with or without modifications as decided by them after consideration of the objections.

**Service / Operational Implications**

- 3.6 The Council has responsibility under the Local Government (Miscellaneous Provisions) Act 1976, for setting the maximum fares that can be charged by hackney carriage vehicles licensed to operate within the district.
- 3.7 Hackney Carriage ("Taxi") fares are made up of an initial hiring charge and a "mileage" rate, both of which are expressed in terms of distance and / or time per unit cost. This is because when a hired taxi is stationary or moving slowly in traffic the meter continues charging, but by time, instead of distance.

**REDDITCH BOROUGH COUNCIL****LICENSING  
COMMITTEE****9<sup>th</sup> November 2020**

- 
- 3.8 The table of fares applies only to hackney carriage vehicles. Private hire operators are free to agree their hiring charges in advance with their customers, normally at the time of booking the journey.
- 3.9 The current table of fares can be seen at **Appendix 1**. The current table of fares was approved by the Licensing Committee at its meeting in November 2018 took effect on 16<sup>th</sup> November 2018.
- 3.10 Prior to table of fares approved in November 2018, the Council's table of fares for hackney carriages had not been amended since 2011, which represented a significant period without any increase to take account of inflation and other relevant cost rises for hackney carriage drivers and proprietors.
- 3.11 When considering making changes to the table of fares in November 2018, Members expressed the view that the table of fares should be regularly reviewed to avoid a situation where a large increase is requested following several years without a review having taken place.
- 3.12 On 4<sup>th</sup> November 2019 the Licensing Committee considered a report in which it was explained that Chairman of Redditch Taxi Association had confirmed that the Association did not wish to request any further increase at that time.
- 3.13 Members resolved that the contents of the report be noted, and that an officer's report on the hackney carriage table of fares be brought back to Licensing Committee no later than November 2020.
- 3.14 Prior to commencing the preparation of this report, the Chairman of Redditch Taxi Association was approached by officers to see if the Association would like to request any amendments to the current table of fares.
- 3.15 The Chairman of Redditch Taxi Association has confirmed that they do not wish to request any further increase in the table of fares at the present time.
- 3.16 Officers therefore recommend that Members note that this matter has been reviewed and that no further action is required at the present time.

**4. RISK MANAGEMENT**

- 4.1 None

**LICENSING  
COMMITTEE****9<sup>th</sup> November 2020**

---

**5. APPENDICES**

Appendix 1 – Current Table of Fares

**AUTHOR OF REPORT**

Name: Dave Etheridge – Senior Licensing Practitioner  
Worcestershire Regulatory Services

E Mail: [dave.etheridge@worcsregservices.gov.uk](mailto:dave.etheridge@worcsregservices.gov.uk)

Tel: (01905) 822799



**REDDITCH BOROUGH COUNCIL**  
**TABLE OF FARES FOR HACKNEY CARRIAGES**  
**WITH EFFECT FROM 16 NOVEMBER 2018**



	Tariff One	Tariff Two	Tariff Three
For the first 880 yards (804.7 metres approx.) or part thereof	£3.20	£4.45	£6.40
For each subsequent 176 yards (160.9 metres approx.)	£0.14	£0.14	£0.28
Equivalent to:	£1.40 per mile (1609.3 metres approx.)	£1.40 per mile (1609.3 metres approx.)	£2.80 per mile (1609.3 metres approx.)
Waiting time – for each 30 seconds	£0.10	£0.10	£0.20
Extra Charges			
For each dog (except guide dogs)	£1.50		
For each passenger in excess of 6	£0.50		
Explanation of Tariffs Applicable			
Tariff One	6am – Midnight		
Tariff Two	Midnight – 6am and Bank Holidays		
Tariff Three	Christmas Day, Boxing Day and New Years Day		
<p><u>Note</u> if the vehicle or seating is so soiled by any passenger or animal as to require cleaning, the proprietor may make a charge. This must be made clear to the passenger at the end of the journey there will be a maximum charge of £75.00.</p>			

This page is intentionally left blank

**LICENSING  
COMMITTEE****9<sup>th</sup> November 2020****LICENSING COMMITTEE WORK PROGRAMME 2020/21****9<sup>th</sup> November 2020**

Statutory Taxi and Private Hire Standards

Hackney Carriage and Private Hire Vehicle Age Limits

Review of Hackney Carriage Table of Fares

Verbal update on engagement with stakeholders in relation to proposals for the creation / amendment of stands for hackney carriages.

**6<sup>th</sup> March 2021**

Review of taxi and private hire driver licensing policies in response to statutory guidance to taxi and private hire vehicle licensing authorities on how to use their licensing powers to protect children and vulnerable adults.

Update on engagement with stakeholders in relation to proposals for the creation / amendment of stands for hackney carriages.

**To Be Allocated To Suitable Available Dates in 2021**

Consideration of results of the consultation on livery requirements for licensed vehicles.

Gambling Act 2005 – Review of Statement of Principles

This page is intentionally left blank