APPOINTMENT OF JOINT CHIEF EXECUTIVE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Relevant Portfolio Holder</th>
<th>Councillor Bill Hartnett, Leader of the Council</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Portfolio Holder Consulted</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relevant Head of Service</td>
<td>Kevin Dicks, Chief Executive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ward(s) Affected</td>
<td>All</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ward Councillor(s) Consulted</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Key Decision / Non-Key Decision</td>
<td>Non-key</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. **SUMMARY OF PROPOSALS**

To seek approval to include the post of Chief Executive in the Joint Management Team arrangements between Redditch Borough Council and Bromsgrove District Council.

2. **RECOMMENDATIONS**

The Council is requested to RESOLVE that

1) the current position with regard to the Joint Chief Executive arrangements between Redditch Borough and Bromsgrove District Council be noted;

2) Members agree whether or not to proceed to implementation of a shared Joint Chief Executive in line with the wider Shared Management Team arrangements;

3) should the Council agree to proceed to the implementation of a shared Joint Chief Executive, it confirms that the annual salary for the post is £122,500 - £127,500; and

4) authority be delegated to the Head of Legal, Equalities and Democratic Services, in consultation with the Leaders, to finalise the employment arrangements.

3. **BACKGROUND**

3.1 Redditch Borough Council and Bromsgrove District Council have now been operating with a shared Chief Executive since 2008 (formally since 2009) and in 2010 both Councils also agreed to the introduction of a Shared Management Team.

3.2 The shared Chief Executive post has delivered £55k of savings each year and the shared Management Team over £250k savings per year for the Council. These savings have supported the Council's achievement of required savings without impacting on service delivery.
3.3 Members will recall that the Chief Executive is an employee of Bromsgrove District Council and that Redditch Borough Council has benefited through the shared arrangements by way of a secondment.

3.4 The secondment agreement required that the arrangement be reviewed in March 2013 with the view to determining whether or not it should continue.

3.5 As Members will appreciate, the arrangements have been formally monitored by the Leaders of both Authorities at regular intervals throughout the period of the secondment to ensure that the joint arrangements continue to meet the needs of both organisations and to ensure that the priorities for each Council are being achieved. This arrangement will continue.

3.6 Members are being asked to consider making the secondment permanent in line with the wider management team arrangements.

3.7 As Members are aware, the employee successfully undertook a formal assessment process to secure the secondment position and has fulfilled formal Annual Performance Development Reviews and regular reviews with the Leaders at both Authorities. In accordance with the service agreement, both Authorities have regularly assessed the extent to which the arrangements meet their organisational needs.

3.8 The Chief Executive post salary grading has been assessed by officers of the West Midlands Councils advice service using the HAY Job Evaluation Scheme which has been widely utilised for senior management posts in Local Government for a number of years. There is a consistent approach to assess know-how, problem-solving and accountability to reflect the roles and responsibilities for each post. The salary attached to the Joint Chief Executive post is also used as the basis to determine the salaries of the remainder of the management team.

3.9 It is therefore proposed, based on the ongoing assessment of the employee’s suitability for the role, that the employee be redeployed and formally appointed to the position of permanent Shared Chief Executive and Head of Paid Services for both Councils.

3.10 Bromsgrove District Council would continue to be the employing authority and existing target setting and appraisal mechanisms will be further developed to ensure the postholder meets the needs of each Council within the context of the joint management arrangements.
4. KEY ISSUES

Financial Implications

4.1 Over the last 4 years, since the shared Chief Executive arrangements were introduced the Council has realised over £200k in savings from this post. This has supported the Council’s financial position over the last 4 years in light of the significant financial constraints and pressures that have been seen by Local Government. Members are aware that the funding available will not improve in future years.

4.3 Should the current employee not be appointed there would be a risk of significant financial cost associated with a claim for unfair/constructive dismissal. In addition, if Redditch Borough Council chose to appoint a separate Chief Executive there would be an estimated annual cost of £55k per annum which would have to be met from savings within services provided.

Legal Implications

4.4 The creation of a permanent Shared Chief Executive post would result in the deletion of the Chief Executives’ posts at Redditch Borough Council and Bromsgrove District Council.

4.5 The Council is bound by the Local Authorities (Standing Orders) Regulations 1993 and the Local Authority (Standing orders) (England) Regulations 2001 when making an appointment to the post of Chief Executive.

4.6 The substantive post as Chief Executive for Bromsgrove District Council would, by definition, be redundant. The Employment Rights Act 1996 (ERA) states that the employer must provide suitable alternative employment to employees at risk of redundancy.

4.7 The permanent Shared Chief Executive post would be identified as suitable alternative employment for the currently seconded Bromsgrove Chief Executive. There are no implications for any other employees.

4.8 Should the employee not be appointed there would be a significant risk of challenge of unfair/constructive dismissal against the employing Authority if the employer was unable to demonstrate that it had carefully considered the opportunity for suitable alternative employment and identified reasonable justifiable ground why redeployment should not apply. The existing agreement provides that both Councils share any such liabilities on an equal basis.

Service / Operational Implications

4.10 The proposals will enable the Council to continue to make ongoing revenue savings whilst maintaining the current level of management support and direction.
Customer / Equalities and Diversity Implications

4.11 Under its recruitment policies the Council would usually advertise the permanent position and carry out a recruitment exercise. As explained at paragraph 4.6 above, the Council is being advised to pursue a different route on this occasion for sound employment reasons.

5. RISK MANAGEMENT

If the Council decides to make the position permanent, the process and risks involved are as set out in paragraphs 4.5 – 4.7 above.

6. APPENDICES

None.

7. BACKGROUND PAPERS

Reports to Council 30th June 2008 (minute no. 22/08) and 29th July 2009 (minute no. 28/09).
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