Risk	Cause / Effect	Current Mitigations	Inherent Risk	Actions Needed	Residual Risk	Risk Owner	Links to Strategic Purposes
Non Compliance with Health and Safety Legisalation	 Cause: Consequence of Council action Negligence by Council Actions beyond Council control Effect: Reputation affected Legal action against Council Financial impact 	 Standard Operating Procedures -SOP (H&S etc) Health and Safety Committee meets regularly Training for staff Health-checks First Aid / Defibrillation provision Safeguarding Policy and Procedures Risk Assessments Updated inspection policy Continued updates to Health and Safety Committee 	Impact – 4 Likelihood – 2 = 8	 Development of Corporate H&S Measures 	Impact – 4 Likelihood – 2 = 8	Deb Poole	All
Snap / poorly informed decisions made on savings / cuts	 Cause: Requirement for savings to balance budget Unanticipated cost pressures / demand on services Pressure from 	 Robust budget- setting process in place Developed budget bids for pressures and details of savings proposed Performance Dashboard in 	Impact – 4 Likeliho od – 3 =12	 Establish "whole- life" or "end to end" approach to assessment of savings proposals Develop/improve support for Leadership and 	Impact – 4 Likelihood -2 = 8	Jayne Pickering	All

APPENDIX 1

2017/18

2017/18							
	other partners <i>Effect:</i> • Longer term improvement / innovation / efficiency is hindered • Impact on organisation, staff and residents • Impact on Transformation Programme	 place Data used to evidence need in business cases 		 decision-making roles of Members On line access for managers for budgets and actual spend being rolled out to managers Performance dashboard to be used when reporting to members 			
Managing the impact of National Changes – financial / social economic or environmental which may have a detrimental impact on service delivery or quality (eg Brexit / Universal Credit)	 Cause: Changes to National Policy impacting on services at a local level Lack of resource to meet the demand on the service Reduction in funding or revenue available Funding for new initiatives not available Service 	 Regular consideration at management team of National Issues Medium Term Financial Plan in place with assumptions on levels of cuts Full review of reserves and balances Officers working with partners and 	Impact – 4 Likelihood – 4 = 16	 Consider opportunities for alternative service delivery models/ approaches to generate income / reduce cost Ensure updated with legislation and financial impact of changes Reporting regularly to members of 	Impact – 4 Likelihood – 4 = 16	Jayne Pickering	All

APPENDIX 1

2017/18

2017/18	1	1				
	cessation <i>Effect:</i> • Reputation affected • Quality of life of residents affected • Demand increasing on services • Negative Financial impact	networks to identify issues • 4 year financial plan and efficiency plan in place •		National policy changes that may impact on local demand		
Partners of the Councils fail to work together in proactive way	 Cause: Sovereignty issues / fear of losing control Pressures on partner organisation (financial or political) Resources available from partners Lack of understanding / buy in Effect: Service improvement hindered Reputation affected 	 Robust governance structures in place Funding mechanisms in place and legally enforceable Partnership Boards (LEP etc) 	Impact – 4 Likelihoo d -4 = 16	 Ensure that key decision-makers are round the partnership table Undertake Partnership health-check for all partnership initiatives Connecting Families roll out 	Impact – 4 Likelihood -3 = 12	Help me live my life independently Help me run a successful business Help me find somewhere to live in my locality

APPENDIX 1

2017/18

	Financial impact						
Business Continuity Plans fail to operate effectively in an incident.	 Cause: Service plans not all in place, fit for purpose or validated. Plans not implemented or embedded within the culture of the organisation. Effect: Damage to property / equipment Service delivery affected Councils' reputation harmed Financial impact 	 Corporate Business Continuity Plan is in place All team plans in place Work programme of training & exercising to be reviewed Sept 17 	Impact -3 Likelihood – 4 =12	 All services have undertaken a Business Impact Analysis (BIA) resulting in revised Business Continuity Plans Refresh Corporate Business Continuity Plan following service BIA delivery. Deliver work programme of training & exercises. Risk assessments Work Programmes (testing etc) to be developed 	Impact -5 Likelihood -2 = 10	Sue Hanley	All
IT systems and infrastructure has a major failure	 Cause: Systems bugs / errors Failure in power supply Storage of data/servers affected Effect: Loss of key 	 Business Continuity Plans in place Discrete and remote data storage in place Back-up procedures in place and 	Impact – 3 Likelihood – 3 = 9	 Continue to assess strength of IT security 	Impact -3 Likelihood -2 = 6	Deb Poole	Enabling Services

APPENDIX 1

	data Service delivery affected Councils' reputation harmed Financial impact 	followed • IT business continuity procedures reviewed					
Lack of robust financial accounting and monitoring arrangements	 Cause: Systems failures Inexperienced staff Lack of capacity / resources Changes in legislation not addressed Effect: Inaccurate accounts Reputation harmed Financial Decisions being made on inaccurate information 	 Action plan in place to monitor S11 recommendations External support sourced to ensure specialist advice available Training on system undertaken Staff training undertaken Key roles and responsibilities identified 	Impact – 3 Likelihood – 3 = 9	 Regular reporting to members Continue professional development training Review financial regulations Implement on line access to financial system for managers 	Impact – 3 Likelihood – 3 = 9	Jayne Pickering	Enabling Services
Non adherence with Statutory	Cause:	Clear plan of	Impact -5	Further review of	Impact -5		
Inspection Policy	Lack of robust	monitoring in placeStaff training	Likelihood -	monitoring arrangements	Likelihood		

2017/18						
	 monitoring systems Lack of capacity / resources Changes in legislation not addressed Effect: 	 undertaken Specialist advice on pull should it be required Action plan in place to address insurance inspection recommendations 	3 = 15	Regular checks undertaken on inspections	-2 = 10	
	 Impact on residents Reputation harmed Financial Penalties 					