

External Audit Plan

Year ending 31 March 2018

Redditch Borough Council 18 January 2018



Contents

000	

Your key Grant Thornton team members are:

Richard Percival Engagement Lead T: 0121 232 5434 E: richard.d.percival@uk.gt.com

Neil Preece

Manager T: 0121 232 5292 E: neil.a.preece@uk.gt.com

Denise Mills

Audit Executive T: 0121 232 5306 E: Denise. F. Mills@uk.gt.com

Section	Page
1. Introduction & headlines	3
2. Deep business understanding	4
3. Significant risks identified	5
4. Reasonably possible risks identified	7
5. Other matters	8
6. Materiality	9
7. Value for Money arrangements	10
8. Audit logistics, team & audit fees	11
9. Early close	12
10. Independence & non-audit services	13
Appendices	
A. Revised ISAs	15
B. Agreed Action Plan from 2016/17 Audit Findings Report	16

The contents of this report relate only to the matters which have come to our attention, which we believe need to be reported to you as part of our audit planning process. It is not a comprehensive record of all the relevant matters, which may be subject to change, and in particular we cannot be held responsible to you for reporting all of the risks which may affect the Council or any weaknesses in your internal controls. This report has been prepared solely for your benefit and should not be quoted in whole or in part without our prior written consent. We do not accept any responsibility for any loss occasioned to any third party acting, or refraining from acting on the basis of the content of this report, as this report was not prepared for, nor intended for, any other purpose.

Grant Thornton UK LLP is a limited liability partnership registered in England and Wales: No.OC307742. Registered office: 30 Finsbury Square, London, EC2A 1AG. A list of members is available from our registered office. Grant Thornton UK LLP is authorised and regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority. Grant Thornton UK LLP is a member firm of Grant Thornton International Ltd (GTIL). GTIL and the member firms are not a worldwide partnership. Services are delivered by the member firms. GTIL and its member firms are not agents of, and do not obligate, one another and are not liable for one another's acts or omissions.

Introduction & headlines

Purpose

This document provides an overview of the planned scope and timing of the statutory audit of Redditch Borough Council ('the Council') for those charged with governance.

Respective responsibilities

The National Audit Office ('the NAO') has issued a document entitled Code of Audit Practice ('the Code'). This summarises where the responsibilities of auditors begin and end and what is expected from the audited body. Our respective responsibilities are also set in the Terms of Appointment and Statement of Responsibilities issued by Public Sector Audit Appointments (PSAA), the body responsible for appointing us as auditor of Redditch Borough Council. We draw your attention to both of these documents on the <u>PSAA website</u>.

Scope of our audit

The scope of our audit is set in accordance with the Code and International Standards on Auditing (ISAs) (UK). We are responsible for forming and expressing an opinion on the:

- financial statements (including the Annual Governance Statement) that have been prepared by management with the oversight of those charged with governance (the Audit, Governance and Standards Committee); and
- Value for Money arrangements in place at the Council for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness in your use of resources.

The audit of the financial statements does not relieve management or the Audit, Governance and Standards Committee of your responsibilities. It is the responsibility of the Council to ensure that proper arrangements are in place for the conduct of its business, and that public money is safeguarded and properly accounted for. We have considered how the Council is fulfilling these responsibilities.

Our audit approach is based on a thorough understanding of the Council's business and is risk based.

Significant risks	Those risks requiring specific audit consideration and procedures to address the likelihood of a material financial statement error have been identified as:					
	 Under ISA (UK&I) 240 it is presumed that the risk of management over-ride of controls is present in all entities. The Council's pension fund asset and liability as reflected in its balance sheet represent significant estimates in the financial statements. The valuation of the Council's property, plant and equipment. 					
	We will communicate significant findings on these areas as well as any other significant matters arising from the audit to you in our Audit Findings (ISA 260) Report.					
Materiality	We have determined planning materiality to be £1.322m (PY £1.324m), which equates to 2% of your gross expenditure for the prior year after adjusting for the HRA revaluation. We are obliged to report uncorrected omissions or misstatements other than those which are 'clearly trivial' to those charged with governance. Clearly trivial has been set at £66k (PY £66k).					
Value for Money arrangements	Our risk assessment regarding your arrangements to secure value for money have identified the following VFM significant risks:					
	In year financial reporting to Members.					
	 Financial sustainability Procurement and contract management in the Housing Department 					
Audit logistics	Our interim visit will take place in February and March and our final visit will take place in June and July. Our key deliverables are this Audi Plan and our Audit Findings Report.					
	Our fee for the audit will be no less than £57,960 (PY: £57,960) for the Council.					
Independence	We have complied with the Financial Reporting Council's Ethical Standard and we as a firm, and each covered person, confirm that we are independent and are able to express an objective opinion on the financial statements					

Deep business understanding

Changes to service delivery

Changes to financial reporting requirements

Commercialisation

The scale of investment activity, primarily in commercial property, has increased as local authorities seek to maximise income generation. These investments are often discharged through a company, partnership or other investment vehicle. The Council has established a Programme Board to oversee the implementation of its commercialisation strategy. Three work streams are being prioritised:

- Use of land and assets
- Contracts
- Income including fees and charges

Devolution

The Cities and Local Government Devolution Act 2016 provides the legal framework for the implementation of devolution deals with combined authorities and other areas. Redditch Borough Council is a non constituent member of the West Midlands Combined Authority (WMCA). There are challenges for the Council in determining a clear role and vision for its part in the WMCA.

Leisure Services

The Council is reviewing its options for delivering leisure services with the aim of getting more people, more active, more often for the same investment or less.

Accounts and Audit Regulations 2015 (the Regulations)

Under the 2015 Regulations local authorities are required to publish their accounts along with the auditors opinion by 31 July 2018.

This new deadline will be very challenging for the Council to achieve as it has not been met in previous years. There have been some changes to the finance team, with a new Head of Service in post.

Changesto the CIPFA 2017/18 Accounting Code

CIPFA have introduced other minor changes to the 2017/18 Code which confirm the going concern basis for local authorities, and updates for Leases, Service Concession arrangements and financial instruments.

Housing Revenue Account (HRA)

DCLG has issued revised guidance on the calculation of the Item 8 Determination for 2017/18. This extends transitional arrangements for reversing impairment charges and revaluation losses on dwelling assets, applies this principle to non-dwelling assets from 2017/18, and confirms arrangements for charging depreciation and revaluation gains to the HRA.

Key challenges

Financial pressures

The 2016/17 Medium Financial Plan (MTFP) was agreed in February 2017. This shows a balanced budget each year to 2020/21, but requires the delivery of £3m of savings or additional income and £430kuse of reserves. Achieving a sustainable balanced budget, while protecting service provision, continues to be a significant challenge for the Council.

In November 2017 Cabinet agreed the planning assumptions for the 2017/18 MTFP. The report also notes that savings of nearly £230kwere achieved by paying pension contributions early.

Improving financial reporting

Our 2016/17 Audit Findings Report made seven Financial Statements and five Value for Money recommendations, with agreed responses. The Council needs to improve In Year Financial Reporting and the robustness of its' Medium Term Financial Plan to move away from having a Qualified Value for Money Conclusion.

Our response

- We will consider your arrangements for managing and reporting your financial resources as part of our work in reaching our Value for Money conclusion.
- We will consider whether your financial position leads to uncertainty about the going concern assumption and will review any related disclosures in the financial statements.
- We will keep you informed of changes to the Regulations and any associated changes to financial reporting or public inspection requirements for 2017/18 through on-going discussions and invitations to our technical update workshops.
- As part of our opinion on your financial statements, we will consider whether your financial statements reflect the financial reporting changes in the 2017/18 CIPFA Code, revised stock valuation guidance for the HRA, and the impact of impairment assessments and the adequacy of provisions in relation to essential work on high rise buildings.

Significant risks identified

Significant risks are defined by professional standards as risks that, in the judgement of the auditor, require special audit consideration because they have a higher risk of material misstatement. Such risks often relate to significant non-routine transactions and judgmental matters. In identifying risks, audit teams consider the nature of the risk, the potential magnitude of misstatement, and its likelihood.

Risk	Reason for risk identification	Ke	ey aspects of our proposed response to the risk
The revenue cycle includes fraudulent transactions	Under ISA (UK) 240 there is a rebuttable presumed risk that revenue may be misstated due to the improper recognition of revenue.		
	Having considered the risk factors set out in ISA240 and the nature of the revenue streams at the Council, we have determined that the risk of fraud arising from revenue recognition can be rebutted, because:		
	· there is little incentive to manipulate revenue recognition		
	· opportunities to manipulate revenue recognition are very limited		
	 The culture and ethical frameworks of local authorities, including Redditch Borough Council, mean that all forms of fraud are seen as unacceptable 		
	Therefore we do not consider this to be a significant risk for Redditch Borough Council.		
Management over-ride of controls	Under ISA (UK) 240 there is a non-rebuttable presumed risk that the risk of management over-ride of controls is present in all entities Management over-ride of controls is a risk requiring special audit consideration.		/e will:
			gain an understanding of the accounting estimates, judgements
			applied and decisions made by management and consider the reasonableness
		•	obtain a full listing of journal entries, identify and test unusual journal entries for appropriateness
		٠	evaluate the rationale for any changes in accounting policies or significant unusual transactions.

Risk	Reason for risk identification	Key aspects of our proposed response to the risk		
Valuation of property, plant and equipment	The Council revalues its land and buildings on a five year rolling basis	We will:		
	to ensure that carrying value is not materially different from fair value. This represents a significant estimate by management in the financial statements.	 Review management's processes and assumptions for the calculation of the estimate, the instructions issued to valuation experts and the scope of their w ork. 		
	We identified the valuation of land and buildings revaluations and impairments as a risk requiring special audit consideration.	 Consider the competence, expertise and objectivity of any management experts used. 		
		 Discuss with the valuer the basis on which the valuation is carried out and challenge of the key assumptions. 		
		 Review and challenge the information used by the valuer to ensure it is robus and consistent with our understanding. 		
		 Test revaluations made during the year to ensure they are input correctly into the Council's asset register. 		
		 Evaluate the assumptions made by management for those assets not revalued during the year and how management has satisfied themselves that these are not materially different to current value. 		
Valuation of pension	The Council's pension fund asset and liability as reflected in its balance	We will:		
fund net liability	sheet represent a significant estimate in the financial statements.	 Identify the controls put in place by management to ensure that the pension 		
	We identified the valuation of the pension fund net liability as a risk requiring special audit consideration.	fund liability is not materially misstated. We will also assess whether these controls were implemented as expected and whether they are sufficient to mitigate the risk of material misstatement.		
		 Evaluate the competence, expertise and objectivity of the actuary who carried out your pension fund valuation. We will gain an understanding of the basis on which the valuation is carried out. 		
		 Undertake procedures to confirm the reasonableness of the actuarial assumptions made. 		
		 Check the consistency of the pension fund asset and liability and disclosures in notes to the financial statements with the actuarial report from your actuary 		

Significant risks identified

We will communicate significant findings on these areas as well as any other significant matters arising from the audit to you in our Audit Findings Report in July 2018.

Reasonably possible risks identified

Reasonably possible risks (RPRs) are, in the auditor's judgment, other risk areas which the auditor has identified as an area where the likelihood of material misstatement cannot be reduced to remote, without the need for gaining an understanding of the associated control environment, along with the performance of an appropriate level of substantive work. The risk of misstatement for an RPR is low er than that for a significant risk, and they are not considered to be areas that are highly judgmental, or unusual in relation to the day to day activities of the business.

Risk	Reason for risk identification	Key aspects of our proposed response to the risk
Employee remuneration	Payroll expenditure represents a significant percentage (20%) of the Council's operating expenses. As the payroll expenditure comes from a number of individual transactions and an interface with sub-systems there is a risk that	 Evaluate the Council's accounting policy for recognition of payroll expenditure for appropriateness; Gain an understanding of the Council's system for accounting for
payroll expenditure in the accounts could be understated. We therefore identified completeness of payroll expenses as a risk requiring particular audit attention.	 payroll expenditure and evaluate the design of the associated controls; Obtain the year-end payroll reconciliation and ensure the amount in the accounts can be reconciled to the ledger and through to payroll reports. Investigate significant adjusting items; and 	
		Perform substantive analytical procedures for the year.
Operating expenses	Non-pay expenses on other goods and services also represents a significant percentage (61%) of the Council's operating expenses. Management uses judgement to estimate accruals of un-invoiced costs.	 We will: Evaluate the Council's accounting policy for recognition of non-pay expenditure for appropriateness;
	We identified completeness of non- pay expenses as a risk requiring particular audit attention.	 Gain an understanding of the Council's system for accounting for non-pay expenditure and evaluate the design of the associated controls; and
		 Test non-pay payments made in April to ensure they are charged to the appropriate year.

Other matters

Other work

In addition to our responsibilities under the Code of Practice, we have a number of other audit responsibilities, as follows:

- We carry out work to satisfy ourselves that disclosures made in your Annual Governance Statement are in line with the guidance issued and consistent with our know ledge of the Council.
- We will read your Narrative Statement and check that it is consistent with the financial statements on which we give an opinion and that the disclosures included in it are in line with the requirements of the CIPFA Code of Practice.
- We carry out work on your consolidation schedules for the Whole of Government Accounts process in accordance with NAO group audit instructions.
- We consider our other duties under the Act and the Code, as and when required, including:
 - giving electors the opportunity to raise questions about your 2017/18 financial statements, consider and decide upon any objections received in relation to the 2017/18 financial statements;
 - · issue of a report in the public interest; and
 - making a written recommendation to the Council, copied to the Secretary of State.
- We certify completion of our audit.

Other material balances and transactions

Under International Standards on Auditing, "irrespective of the assessed risks of material misstatement, the auditor shall design and perform substantive procedures for each material class of transactions, account balance and disclosure". All other material balances and transaction streams will therefore be audited. How ever, the procedures will not be as extensive as the procedures adopted for the risks identified in this report.

Going concern

As auditors, we are required to "obtain sufficient appropriate audit evidence about the appropriateness of management's use of the going concern assumption in the preparation and presentation of the financial statements and to conclude whether there is a material uncertainty about the entity's ability to continue as a going concern" (ISA (UK) 570). We will review management's assessment of the going concern assumption and evaluate the disclosures in the financial statements.

Materiality

The concept of materiality

The concept of materiality is fundamental to the preparation of the financial statements and the audit process and applies not only to the monetary misstatements but also to disclosure requirements and adherence to acceptable accounting practice and applicable law. Misstatements, including omissions, are considered to be material if they, individually or in the aggregate, could reasonably be expected to influence the economic decisions of users taken on the basis of the financial statements.

Materiality for planning purposes

We propose to calculate financial statement materiality based on a proportion of the gross expenditure of the Council for the financial year. In the prior year we used the same benchmark. We have determined planning materiality (the financial statements materiality determined at the planning stage of the audit) to be £1.322m (PY £1.324m), which equates to 2% of your 2016/17 gross expenditure after adjusting for the impact of HRA revaluation. We design our procedures to detect errors in specific accounts at a low er level of precision.

We reconsider planning materiality if, during the course of our audit engagement, we become aware of facts and circumstances that would have caused us to make a different determination of planning materiality

Matters we will report to the Audit, Governance & Standards Committee

Whilst our audit procedures are designed to identify misstatements which are material to our opinion on the financial statements as a whole, we nevertheless report to the Audit, Governance & Standards Committee any unadjusted misstatements of lesser amounts to the extent that these are identified by our audit work. Under ISA 260 (UK) 'Communication with those charged with governance', we are obliged to report uncorrected omissions or misstatements other than those which are 'clearly trivial' to those charged with governance. ISA 260 (UK) defines 'clearly trivial' as matters that are clearly inconsequential, whether taken individually or in aggregate and whether judged by any quantitative or qualitative criteria. In the context of the Council, we propose that an individual difference could normally be considered to be clearly trivial if it is less than £66k (PY £66k).

If management have corrected material misstatements identified during the course of the audit, we will consider whether those corrections should be communicated to the Audit, Governance & Standards Committee to assist it in fulfilling its governance responsibilities.



(PY: £66k)

Value for Money arrangements

Background to our VFM approach

The NAO issued its guidance for auditors on Value for Money work for 2017/18 in November 2017. The guidance states that for local government bodies, auditors are required to give a conclusion on whether the Council has proper arrangements in place.

The guidance identifies one single criterion for auditors to evaluate:

"In all significant respects, the audited body takes properly informed decisions and deploys resources to achieve planned and sustainable outcomes for taxpayers and local people."

This is supported by three sub-criteria, as set out below :



Significant VFM risks

Those risks requiring specific audit consideration and procedures to address the likelihood that proper arrangements are not in place at the Council to deliver value for money.

In year financial reporting to Members

How informative is in year financial reporting to Members?



We have previously identified that improvement is needed in reliable and timely financial reporting that supports the delivery of strategic purposes.

We will follow up recommendations from our 2016/17 Audit Findings Report to determine the progress made in addressing these issues.

Financial sustainability

How robust is the MTFS and how well developed are savings plans?

We have previously identified that improvement is needed to planning finances effectively to support the sustainable delivery of strategic purposes and maintain statutory functions.

We will follow up recommendations from our 2016/17 Audit Findings Report to determine the progress made in addressing these issues.

Procurement and contract management in the housing department



There is an independent investigation into the procurement and management of housing repairs contracts.

We will monitor the investigation and the Council response to determine whether there are any implications for our VFM Conclusion.

Audit logistics, team & audit fees





Richard Percival, Engagement Lead

Richard's role will be to:

- lead our relationship with you;
- be a key contact for the Chief Executive, Director of Resources and the Audit, Governance and Standards Committee;
- ensure that Grant Thornton's full service offering is at your disposal: and
- take overall responsibility for the delivery of a high quality audit, meeting the highest professional standards and adding value to the Council.



Neil Preece, Audit Manager

Neil's role will be to manage the delivery of a high quality audit, meeting the highest professional standards and adding value to the Council.



Denise Mills, Audit Incharge

Denise's role will be to:

- be the day to day contact for Council finance staff;
- take responsibility for ensuring there is effective communication and understanding by finance team of audit requirements;
- have day to day responsibility for the running of the audit and first point of contact;
- focus on the more technical aspect of the audit and to discuss emerging national technical matters as they arise and deal with technical matters raised by the you throughout the year in a timely manner.

Audit fees

The planned audit fees are no less than £57,960 (PY: £57,960) for the financial statements audit. Our fees for grant certification cover only housing benefit subsidy certification, which falls under the remit of Public Sector Audit Appointments Limited Fees in respect of other grant work, such as reasonable assurance reports, are shown under 'Fees for other services'.

In setting your fee, we have assumed that the scope of the audit, and the Council and its activities, do not significantly change.

Our requirements

To ensure the audit is delivered on time and to avoid any additional fees, we have detailed our expectations and requirements in the following section 'Early Close'. If the requirements detailed overleaf are not met, we reserve the right to postpone our audit visit and charge fees to reimburse us for any additional costs incurred. 11

Early close

Meeting the early close timeframe

Bringing forward the statutory date for publication of audited local government accounts to 31 July this year, across the whole sector, is a significant challenge for local authorities and auditors alike. For authorities, the time available to prepare the accounts is curtailed, while, as auditors we have a shorter period to complete our workand face an even more significant peak in our workload than previously.

We have carefully planned how we can make the best use of the resources available to us during the final accounts period. As well as increasing the overall level of resources available to deliver audits, we have focused on:

- · bringing forw ard as much w ork as possible to interim audits
- starting work on final accounts audits as early as possible, by agreeing which authorities will have accounts prepared significantly before the end of May
- · seeking further efficiencies in the way we carry out our audits
- w orking with you to agree detailed plans to make the audits run smoothly, including early agreement of audit dates, w orking paper and data requirements and early discussions on potentially contentious items.

We are satisfied that, if all these plans are implemented, we will be able to complete your audit and those of our other local government clients in sufficient time to meet the earlier deadline.

Client responsibilities

Where individual clients do not deliver to the timetable agreed, we need to ensure that this does not impact on audit quality or absorb a disproportionate amount of time, thereby disadvantaging other clients. We will therefore conduct audits in line with the timetable set out in audit plans (as detailed on page 11). Where the elapsed time to complete an audit exceeds that agreed due to a client not meetings its obligations we will not be able to maintain a team on site. Similarly, where additional resources are needed to complete the audit due to a client not meeting their obligations we are not able to guarantee the delivery of the audit by the statutory deadline. Such audits are unlikely to be re-started until very close to, or after the statutory deadline. In addition, it is highly likely that these audits will incur additional audit fees.

Our requirements

To minimise the risk of a delayed audit or additional audit fees being incurred, you need to ensure that you:

- produce draft financial statements of good quality by the deadline you have agreed with us, including all notes, the narrative report and the Annual Governance Statement
- ensure that good quality working papers are available at the start of the audit, in accordance with the working paper requirements schedule that we have shared with you
- ensure that the agreed data reports are available to us at the start of the audit and are
 reconciled to the values in the accounts, in order to facilitate our selection of samples
- ensure that all appropriate staff are available on site throughout (or as otherwise agreed) the planned period of the audit
- · respond promptly and adequately to audit queries.

In return, we will ensure that:

- · the audit runs smoothly with the minimum disruption to your staff
- you are kept informed of progress through the use of an issues tracker and weekly meetings during the audit
- we are available to discuss issues with you prior to and during your preparation of the financial statements.

Independence & non-audit services

Auditor independence

Ethical Standards and ISA (UK) 260 require us to give you timely disclosure of all significant facts and matters that may bear upon the integrity, objectivity and independence of the firm or covered persons. relating to our independence. We encourage you to contact us to discuss these or any other independence ssues with us. We will also discuss with you if we make additional significant judgements surrounding independence matters.

We confirm that there are no significant facts or matters that impact on our independence as auditors that we are required or wish to draw to your attention. We have complied with the Financial Reporting Council's Ethical Standard and we as a firm, and each covered person, confirm that we are independent and are able to express an objective opinion on the financial statements. Further, we have complied with the requirements of the National Audit Office's Auditor Guidance Note 01 issued inDecember 2016 which sets out supplementary guidance on ethical requirements for auditors of local public bodies.

We confirm that we have implemented policies and procedures to meet the requirements of the Ethical Standard. For the purposes of our audit we have made enquiries of all Grant Thornton UK LLP teams providing services to the Council.

Non-audit services

The following non-audit services were identified:

Service	Fees £	Threats	Safeguards
Audit related			
Certification of Housing capital receipts grant	2,000	Self-Interest (because this is a recurring fee)	The level of this recurring fee taken on its own is not considered a significant threat to independence as the fee for this work is £2,000 in comparison to the total fee for the audit of £57,960 and in particular relative to Grant Thornton UK LLP's turnover overall. Further, it is a fixed fee and there is no contingent element to it. These factors mitigate the perceived self-interest threat to an acceptable level.
Non-audit related			
CFO insights – a data analytics tool through subscription (to be confirmed).	£7,500 (estimated)	None	This fee is for one year only, and does not involve any members of the audit team.

The amounts detailed are fees agreed to-date for audit related and non-audit services to be undertaken by Grant Thornton UK LLP in the current financial year. Any changes and full details of all fees charged for audit related and non-audit related services by Grant Thornton UK LLP and by Grant Thornton International Limited network member Firms will be included in our Audit Findings report at the conclusion of the audit.

None of the services provided are subject to contingent fees.

Appendices

A. Revised ISAs

B. Agreed Action Plan from 2016/17 Audit Findings Report

Appendix A: Revised ISAs

Detailed below is a summary of the key changes impacting the auditor's report for audits of financial statement for periods commencing on or after 17 June 2016.

Section of the auditor's report	Description of the requirements			
Conclusions relating to going concern	We will be required to conclude and report whether:			
	The directors use of the going concern basis of accounting is appropriate			
	• The directors have disclosed identified material uncertainties that may cast significant doubt about the Council's ability to continue as a going concern.			
Material uncertainty related to going concern	will need to include a brief description of the events or conditions identified that may cast significant doubt on the Council's ability to tinue as a going concern when a material uncertainty has been identified and adequately disclosed in the financial statements.			
	Going concern material uncertainties are no longer reported in an Emphasis of Matter section in our audit report.			
Other information	We will be required to include a section on other information which includes:			
	Responsibilities of management and auditors regarding other information			
	· A statement that the opinion on the financial statements does not cover the other information unless required by law or regulation			
	Reporting inconsistencies or misstatements where identified			
Additional responsibilities for directors and the auditor	We will be required to include the respective responsibilities for directors and us, as auditors, regarding going concern.			
Format of the report	The opinion section appears first follow ed by the basis of opinion section.			

B. Action plan Financial Statements

Rec no.	Recommendation	Priority	Management response	Implementation date and responsibility
1.	2017/18 financial statements production Officers need to develop a robust and realistic project plan to ensure that the high quality financial statements are prepared by 31 May 2018, and that officers are able to support auditors to complete the audit and provide an opinion by 31 July.	Red	Agreed. The timetable is being review ed to bring forw ard the timetable (EG. Revaluations) and the Council is exploring the purchase of CIPFA's Big Red Button (BRB) to automate the financial statement. Final account support to be procured Financial Services Manager to be in place by 1/12/17	Review Timetable 31/10/17 – Chief Accountant Purchase BRB and final accounts support 31/10/17– Director of Finance
2.	IT Systems review A review of the staff assigned administrator rights should be performed on a periodic basis to ensure that administrator level access is given on a needs only basis. Least privilege should be the guiding principle when granting all system access. The Agresso accounts should be removed as the system has been replaced this year.	Amber	A review of administrator rights within active directory has been implemented. Date due for completion 21/7/17 Agresso is switched off and only accessed by a formal request from Finance.	21/7/17 Completed
3.	Pension fund returns The Council should ensure that all necessary returns are made to the County Council on a timely basis.	Red	Agreed. This is an issue with the software. If a fix is not found by 30/9/17 a manual process will be identified.	Softw are solutions or manual fix by 30/9/17 – Business Support

Financial Statements Action plan (continued)

Rec no.	Recommendation	Priority	Management response	Implementation date and responsibility
4.	Work in progress The Council should introduce commitment accounting to ensure that expenditure on capital projects is recognised appropriately.	Red	Agreed. This is mainly housing projects but an approach across all capital projects will be introduced for 2017/18 year end to obtain w orks completed to 31st March 2018.	Will be part of the timetable process to be completed by 31/10/17 – Chief Accountant
5.	Creditor process All invoices should be sent to a central location for processing, and be addressed to the Council. All invoices should be supported by a purchase order.	Amber	Agreed. This is an ambition that weare implementing but it does require a disciplined approach.	31/12/17 Financial Services Manager
6.	Accruals policy The Council should adopt and follow an appropriate accounting policy for accruals.	Amber	Agreed. A new accounting policy will be introduced for 2017/18.	Will be part of the 2017/18 accounting policies reported to Audit Committee by 30/04/18 – Financial Services Manager
7.	Journal authorisation Parameters within the ledger should be review ed to ensure that only those individuals set up to authorize journals can complete that process.	Amber	Agreed. Preference is to remove the ability to create and post a journal but need to speak to the software producer (ABS).	31/12/17 – Financial Services Manager

Assessment High (Red)

Medium (Ámber)

B. Action plan (continued) Value for Money

Rec no.	Recommendation	Priority	Managementresponse	Implementation date and responsibility
8.	All savings plans are appropriately supported by a business case, all aspects of the savings are identified, it is clear when the planned savings will be delivered and what needs to happen to realise the savings.	Red	Business case framew ork agreed to be used for development and presentation of business cases for 2018/19. This will include detailed calculations of planned saving and the rationale for the proposal.	November 2017 Executive Director of Finance and Resources
9.	Further improvements to the overall reporting of savings is needed, including a clear picture of planned savings to be delivered, progress to date, risk to full achievement and mitigating actions.	Red	Reporting is currently under review using templates from best practice councils as identified by the auditors. This is to be used for quarter 2 to improve capturing and reporting to members.	November 2017 Executive Director of Finance and Resources
10.	Progress against the action plans supporting the delivery of the Council Plan needs to be monitored and reported on a quarterly basis to Executive.	Amber	Officers are in discussion with members as to the most appropriate mechanism for reporting. Overview and Scrutiny have requested updates on the council plan actions.	October 2017 Head of Transformation
11.	Priority is given by Executive to ensuring that the management restructure is progressed on a timely basis.	Red	Proposals to be developed by Senior Management Team to be presented to Executive in late 2017.	December 2017 Chief Executive
12.	The performance dashboard needs to be reported to Members and Officers on a regular basis.	Amber	We will be undertaking a review of the dashboard in line with changes to our thinking as the organisation continues to change and transform.	November 2017 Head of Transformation
			We will be reporting performance to Members at both Councils in line with the Corporate Performance Strategy – this will commence in November 2017.	



© 2018 Grant Thornton UK LLP. All rights reserved.

'Grant Thornton' refers to the brand under which the Grant Thornton member firms provide assurance, tax and advisory services to their clients and/or refers to one or more member firms, as the context requires.

Grant Thornton UK LLP is a member firm of Grant Thornton International Ltd (GTIL). GTIL and the member firms are not a worldwide partnership. GTIL and each member firm is a separate legal entity. Services are delivered by the member firms. GTIL does not provide services to clients. GTIL and its member firms are not agents of, and do not obligate, one another and are not liable for one another's acts or omissions.