

Date: 25th October 2018

AUDIT, GOVERNANCE & STANDARDS COMMITTEE

INTERNAL AUDIT CHARTER UPDATE REPORT

Relevant Portfolio Holder	Councillor Baker-Price
Portfolio Holder Consulted	Yes
Relevant Head of Service	Chris Forrester, Financial Services Manager
Ward(s) Affected	All Wards
Ward Councillor(s) Consulted	No
Key Decision / Non-Key Decision	Non–Key Decision

1. SUMMARY OF PROPOSALS

1.1 To present:

- the Worcestershire Internal Audit Shared Service revised Internal Audit Charter (see appendix 1).

2. RECOMMENDATION

2.1 **The Committee is asked to APPROVE the revised Internal Audit Charter 2018.**

3. KEY ISSUES

Financial Implications

3.1 None as a direct result of this report.

Legal Implications

3.2 The Council is required under Regulation 5 of the Accounts and Audit Regulations 2015 to “undertake an adequate and effective internal audit of its accounting records and of its system of internal control in accordance with the proper practices in relation to internal control”.

AUDIT, GOVERNANCE & STANDARDS COMMITTEE

Service / Operational Implications

3.3 To aid compliance with the regulation, the Institute of Internal Auditors Public Sector Internal Audit Standards 2013 details that “Internal auditing is an independent, objective assurance and consulting activity designed to add value and improve an organisation's operations. It helps an organisation accomplish its objectives by bringing a systematic, disciplined approach to evaluate and improve the effectiveness of risk management, control, and governance processes”.

3.4 A key element of the 2013 standards is having a fit for purpose Charter in place. As part of a recent external assessment it was recommended that certain areas could be enhanced in the Charter to achieve better clarity and transparency.

3.5 Audit Objectives

3.6 The objectives of the Worcestershire Internal Audit Shared Service are to:

examine, evaluate and report on the adequacy and effectiveness of internal control and risk management across the Council and recommend arrangements to address weaknesses as appropriate;

examine, evaluate and report on arrangements to ensure compliance with legislation and the Council's objectives, policies and procedures;

examine, evaluate and report on procedures to check that the Council's assets and interests are adequately protected and effectively managed;

undertake independent investigations into allegations of fraud and irregularity in accordance with Council policies and procedures and relevant legislation; and

advise upon the control and risk implications of new systems or other organisational changes.

3.7 Purpose of Internal Audit Charter.

3.8 WIASS operates an Internal Audit Charter setting out the standards to which it operates for this Council. It acts as a quality control measure defining and providing details in regard to the purpose, authority, and responsibility of the internal audit activity to those in governance.

AUDIT, GOVERNANCE & STANDARDS COMMITTEE

3.9 The Charter was last reviewed in July 2017 and will continue to be updated to reflect changing requirements in respect of the Audit Service, Standards and external assessment. The updates contained in this version have been documented in the version control of the Charter (8.0).

3.10 Due to the continuing changing environment that exists in Local Government the Charter must be seen as a framework for Internal Audit working arrangements. Any changes required to the Charter which are of a material nature will be reported before Committee at an appropriate time. A copy of the Charter will be included annually with the Internal Audit Annual Report and Opinion.

4. RISK MANAGEMENT

4.1 The main risks associated with the details included in this report are.

- If the Charter is not approved the Internal Audit Shared Service would be forced to operate without an approved Charter which would be contrary to the Public Sector Internal Audit Standards 2013 leading to non compliance with the Standards.

5. APPENDICES

5.1 Appendix 1 ~ Worcestershire Internal Audit Shared Service Internal Audit Charter

6. BACKGROUND PAPERS

None.

7. Key

N/a

Date: 25th October 2018

AUDIT, GOVERNANCE & STANDARDS COMMITTEE

AUTHOR OF REPORT

Name: Andy Bromage
Head of Internal Audit Shared Service ~ Worcestershire
Internal Audit Shared Service
Tel: 01905 722051
E Mail: andy.bromage@worcester.gov.uk

APPENDIX 1



Worcestershire Internal Audit Shared Service (WIASS)

Internal Audit Charter

Redditch Borough Council

Definitions

1. Management refers to the Chief Executive, Executive Directors, Heads of Service and Service Managers
2. Board refers to the Audit, Governance & Standards Committee

This Charter was last reviewed October 2018 and was approved by the Audit Governance and Standards Committee on

AUDIT, GOVERNANCE & STANDARDS COMMITTEE

1. Introduction

Purpose

- 1.1 The purpose of this charter is to define what Internal Audit is and explain its purpose, role and responsibilities.

Provision of Internal Audit Services

- 1.2 WIASS covers five district authorities Wychavon, Malvern Hills, Bromsgrove, Redditch and Worcester and one Fire Service, Hereford and Worcester Fire and Rescue Authority. WIASS will provide internal audit services to third parties under contractual arrangements.

Worcester City Council hosts the Shared Service provision under an on-going Administrative Collaborative Agreement. It is governed by a Client Officer Group (COG) which is made up of the district and Fire Service s151 officers each having an 'equal say'. The Client Officer Group meets approximately 4 times a year.

- 1.3 For line management matters internal audit will report to the Corporate Director of Resources (s151 Officer within Worcester City Council) and the Monitoring Officer in their prolonged absence.

2. Mission and Definition

- 2.1 Mission:

"To enhance and protect organisational value by providing risk-based and objective assurance, advice and insight".

Additional information can be found on the local intranet site:

<https://staffroom.worcester.gov.uk/internal-audit>

- 2.2 Definition:

Internal Auditing is an independent, objective assurance and consulting activity designed to add value and improve an organisation's operations. It helps an organisation accomplish its objectives by bring a systematic, disciplined approach to evaluate and improve the effectiveness of risk management, control and governance processes.

3. Scope and Authority of Internal Audit Work

- 3.1 Under the Accounts and Audit Regulations 2015 No. 234 Part 2 Regulation 5:

AUDIT, GOVERNANCE & STANDARDS COMMITTEE

(1) A relevant authority must undertake an effective internal audit to evaluate the effectiveness of its risk management, control and governance processes, taking into account public sector internal auditing standards or guidance.

(2) Any officer or member of a relevant authority must, if required to do so for the purposes of the internal audit—

(a) make available such documents and records; and

(b) supply such information and explanations;

as are considered necessary by those conducting the internal audit.

(3) In this regulation "documents and records" includes information recorded in an electronic form.

To aid compliance with Regulation 5 of the Accounts and Audit Regulations 2015, the CIPFA Code of Practice for Internal Audit in Local Government in the United Kingdom 2006 details that "Internal Audit should work in partnership with management to improve the control environment and assist the organisation in achieving its objectives".

Internal Audit work should be planned, controlled and recorded in order to determine priorities, establish and achieve objectives.

3.2 In the course of their reviews internal audit staff, under the direction of the Head of Service, shall have authority in all partner organisations to:-

- at all reasonable times after taking account of audit requirements, enter on any partners' premises or land;
- have access to, and where internal audit deem necessary take into their possession, any records, documents and correspondence relating to any matter that is the subject of an audit;
- require and receive such explanations as may be considered necessary from any officer of the Partner regardless of their position;
- require any officer of the Partner to produce forthwith cash, stores or any other property under their control.

for the Partner in which the internal audit service is being provided.

3.3 Internal Audit work will normally include, but is not restricted to:

AUDIT, GOVERNANCE & STANDARDS COMMITTEE

- review and assess the soundness, adequacy, integrity and reliability of financial and non-financial management and performance systems, and quality of data;
 - reviewing the means of safeguarding assets;
 - examine, evaluate and report on compliance with legislation, plans, policies, procedures, laws and regulations;
 - promote and assist the Partner in the effective use of resources
 - examine, evaluate and report on the adequacy and effectiveness of internal control and risk management across the Partner and recommend arrangements to address weaknesses as appropriate;
 - advise upon the control and risk implications of new systems or other organisational changes.
 - provide a 'critical friend' to assist services to achieve value for money
 - undertake independent investigations into allegations of fraud and irregularity in accordance with the Partner's policies and procedures and relevant legislation
 - at the specific request of management¹, internal audit may provide consultancy services provided:
 - the internal auditors independence is not compromised
 - the internal audit service has the necessary skills to carry out the assignment, or can obtain skills without undue cost or delay
 - the scope of the consultancy assignment is clearly defined and management¹ have made proper provision for resources within the annual plan
 - management understands that the work being undertaken is not internal audit work.
- 3.4 IA's remit extends across the entire control environment of the organisation and is not limited to certain aspects or elements.
- 4. Responsibility of Management¹ and of Internal Audit.**
- 4.1 At all times internal audit will operate in accordance with the partner's Constitution and legal requirements and all internal audit staff will adhere to recognised Professional Standards and Codes of Conduct and Ethics e.g. the Institute of Internal Auditors' and/or CIPFA as well as the Partner's Codes of Conduct and Anti-Fraud and Corruption Policies.
- 4.2 It is the responsibility of Management to put in place adequate controls to ensure systems meet their objectives and that they are notified without delay of any instances where systems are failing to operate properly. However,

AUDIT, GOVERNANCE & STANDARDS COMMITTEE

- where there has been, or there are grounds to suspect that there is risk of a serious breakdown in a significant system, the Head of Service should be informed of the problem and any counter measures already in hand or proposed, as quickly as possible, in order that the Head of Internal Audit Shared Service can decide whether audit involvement is needed.
- 4.3 Similarly, it is the responsibility of Management to put in place adequate controls to prevent and detect fraud, irregularities, waste of resource, etc. Internal Audit will assist Management to effectively manage these risks. However, no level of controls can guarantee that fraud and the like will not occur even when the controls are performed diligently with due professional care. As a consequence all cases of actual or suspected fraud should be reported to the Head of Internal Audit Shared Service forthwith. The Head of Internal Audit Shared Service will then decide the course of action to be taken with due regard to the Partner's Constitution, e.g. Whistleblower's Charter, Stopping Fraud and Corruption Strategy, etc.
- 4.4 Any officer of a partner organisation who has genuine concerns at raising a suspected instance of fraud or malpractice through their normal reporting channels can raise the matter under the Partner's Whistleblower's Charter directly with any of the persons named in the policy document, including the Head of Internal Audit Shared Service. Head of Internal Audit Shared Service will then pursue the matter in accordance with the provisions of the policy document.
- 4.5 Internal audit is not responsible for any of the activities which it audits. WIASS will not assume responsibility for the design, installation, operation or control of procedures. However should any partner/client contract for specialist services within an area then the WIASS staff member assigned will not be asked to review any aspect of the work undertaken until two years have passed from the completion of the assignment.
- 4.6 The Head of Internal Audit Shared Service will ensure that the relevant Head of Service and/or Section 151 Officer is briefed on any matter coming to the attention of internal audit, either through a review or otherwise, that could have a material impact on the finances, create an unacceptable risk or be fraudulent for the Partner as quickly as possible, and will ensure the appropriate Officer of the Authority e.g. Director, Monitoring Officer is regularly briefed on the progress of audits having a corporate aspect. Matters involving fraud or malpractice are to be reported in line with the anti-fraud and corruption policy. The most appropriate action/engagement of the relevant Head of Service will be determined by the HoWIASS depending on the circumstances.

AUDIT, GOVERNANCE & STANDARDS COMMITTEE

- 4.7 In order to (1) maintain a broad skills base within Internal Audit and (2) maximise the ability of the team to offset the cost of providing the internal audit function to the Partner, the strategic plan will include a commitment that internal audit obtains income to the Partner from external work either from partnership working and/or selling its expertise. Such activities will be governed by targets set out in the Collaborative Administrative Agreement and will be approved and reported on to the Client Officer Group.

5. Planning and Reporting

- 5.1 To meet the objectives above, the Head of Internal Audit Shared Service shall:-
- a) prior to the beginning of each financial year, following consultation with Management¹ and after taking into account comments from Members arising from the reporting process set out below, provide the Committee with:
- a risk based audit plan forecasting which of the Partner's activities are due to receive audit attention in the next 12 months. The risk based plan will take into consideration a number of risk factors including corporate risk register, service risk register, local knowledge, corporate promises or objectives, key strategic documents e.g. five year plan and any external audit guidance. Where there is a potential difference between strategy/plan and resource this is reported to the Board²;
 - a detailed operational plan using a risk based assessment methodology showing how/what resources will be required/allocated in the coming financial year in order to meet the requirements of the Partners strategic plans. The Plans will be flexible and include a small contingency contained as part of the consultancy budget to allow for changes in priorities, emerging risks, ad hoc projects, fraud and irregularity, etc. The Head of Internal Audit Shared Service will bring to the attention of the s151 Officer if this budget is depleted so an additional contingency can be agreed. 'Consultancy', for the purposes of WIASS activity, is defined as work that is of a specialist nature and commissioned/requested in regard to an area of work activity within a service area that is in addition to the agreed partners audit plan. The work can be financial or governance based and the output will provide management¹ with challenges to consider

AUDIT, GOVERNANCE & STANDARDS COMMITTEE

depending on its nature. The approach to the assignment can be flexible but follow a similar path in regard to the methodology.

- b) during the course and at the close of each financial year provide the Board² with:
- quarterly progress reports on actual progress compared to the plan and performance indicators. Such reports to highlight serious problems, either affecting the implementation of the plan, or, in the take up of audit recommendations;
 - an annual report summarising the overall results for the year compared to the plan and pointing out any matters that will impact on internal audit's ability to meet the requirements in the strategic plan;
- c) during the course and close of each full systems/risk audit provide the client manager¹ with:
- a copy of an audit brief and audit information request setting out the objectives and scope of the audit prior to commencement of the audit and a confirmation of resource requirements for the audit.
 - draft recommendations, which will be discussed with the responsible manager¹ prior to sending the draft audit report. The manager¹ is responsible for confirming the accuracy of the audit findings and is invited to discuss the report during the 'clearance' meeting prior to the issue of the draft report.
 - an audit report containing an overview of the quality of the control system, an opinion as to the level of system assurance and detailed findings and recommendations including priority. 'Assurance', for WIASS purposes, is defined as the determination of an overall outcome against a predetermined criteria leading to an applied level giving an overall summary for the work audited.
- d) shortly after the close of each financial year provide for the purposes of the Annual Governance Statement:
- an annual audit opinion of the Partner's system of controls based on the audit work performed during the year in accordance with the plans at 5.1(a) above and reported in accordance with 5.1(b) and (c) above and on the assurance methodology adopted, and, a statement of conformance with the Public Sector Internal Audit

AUDIT, GOVERNANCE & STANDARDS COMMITTEE

Standards and the results of quality assurance and improvement programme.

5.2 Expectations of Clients:

Managers and staff should co-operate with the Auditors, and responses should be made to draft reports as outlined at 3 above. Responses should include an action plan, dates for action and responsibility where actions are delegated. The final 'High' and 'Medium' recommendations will be reported to the Board².

5.3 Audit reports will be drawn up following the internal audit report framework. A matrix type report displaying audit findings, risks and recommendations along with a column for management comments, as per 5.1(c), will be provided to management¹. The report will also contain an introduction and priority categories for each of the recommendations. A covering report will be attached to the matrix providing details of the partner organisation, circulation, audit scope and objectives, an audit opinion, an executive summary and an audit assurance rating as well as a clear indication of what action is required by management.

5.4 Upon completion of audits, the audit exceptions will be discussed with the relevant line manager and will form the basis of the draft audit reports. The draft audit reports are issued to the relevant line managers for them to confirm the accuracy of the audit findings and content. Managers are invited to contact the Auditor if they wish to discuss the report and asked to show their response in the form of an action plan to each recommendation on the draft report. For accepted recommendations, dates for action or implementation are recorded. The managers' responses are recorded in the final reports that are issued to the appropriate Management¹ officers as deemed relevant for the audit.

5.5 In accordance with professional standards, after three/six months from the date of issue of the final report, follow-up audits are undertaken to ensure that the agreed recommendations and action plans have been implemented, or, are in the process of being implemented. A formal follow up procedure / methodology is used to follow up audit reports and reported on an exceptions basis.

5.6 Internal Audit works to the reporting quality standards of:

- draft audit reports to be issued within 5 working days of the clearance meeting;
- management responses received within 10 working days;

AUDIT, GOVERNANCE & STANDARDS COMMITTEE

- final audit reports to be issued within 5 working days of the final discussions of the draft audit report and receipt of management responses;
 - final reports to be followed-up initially within 3 to 6 months of the date issue of the final audit report depending on the recommendation priority and residual risk, to ensure that the accepted recommendations due for implementation have been established.
- 5.7 Escalation for late or non return of audit reports will be instigated when after two requests the reports have not been provided by management. The escalation will commence with the s151 Officer being informed of the late return. If the report remains outstanding then the Board² will be informed of the inaction with a view to them calling in the Officer to justify the late return.

6. 7 Principles of Public Life and how WIASS interprets and applies them.

1. Selflessness - protecting the public purse and ensuring all actions taken are solely in the public interest.

2. Integrity - completely independent and above undue bias or influence in the work that we do.

3. Objectivity – demonstrate impartiality and fairness in all aspects of our work and when reporting uses only the best evidence without discrimination or bias.

4. Accountability – provide transparency and assurance holding people to account in regard to decisions and actions and provide assurance to those in governance roles.

5. Openness – to promote and ensure through good governance that decisions are taken in an open and transparent manner and no information is withheld from the public unless there are clear and lawful reasons for so doing

6. Honesty – to provide independent assurance to those in governance of confirmation of truthfulness

7. Leadership – through the audit work actively promotes and robustly supports the principles and shows a willingness to challenge poor behaviour wherever it occurs.

For further information on the principles of public life:

AUDIT, GOVERNANCE & STANDARDS COMMITTEE

<https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/the-7-principles-of-public-life/the-7-principles-of-public-life--2>

7. Core Principles for Professional Practice and how WIASS interprets and applies them.

1. Demonstrates integrity:

WIASS works independently, without influence or undue bias. The audit plan is created so that there are no conflicts of interest between the officer and the audit. Potential conflicts of interest are formally checked annually with all members of the WIASS team. Areas of risk for WIASS are identified and mitigated. Potential areas of risk include, but are not limited to, auditors re-auditing Risk Management, NFI, and Regulatory Services in consecutive years and Services that they have transferred from. Officers that have conflicts of interest, or if they are / have been working in the area of audit, will not undertake any audits in the conflicting area for a minimum of three years, safeguarding the officers and WIASS' integrity. Further protection is in place by using randomly selected testing samples and a series of independent review stages. All audit working papers, reports and findings are reviewed and if necessary challenged before being issued to the client by either the Head of Service or Team Leader.

2. Demonstrates competence and due professional care:

All reports are reviewed and signed off by either the WIASS Head of Service or Team Leader both of which are highly qualified and governed by professional institution standards. Regular 1-2-1 meetings are held with each officer to ensure progress and personal development. An "open door" culture is adopted throughout WIASS allowing all team members to ask for assistance advice and support at any time. Training (both in-house and external) is available and is provided should it be deemed relevant and appropriate by Head of Worcestershire Internal Audit Shared Service (HoWIASS) / Team Leader.

3. Is objective and free from undue influence:

Independence and safeguarding is a key element of internal audit provision. All WIASS staff are vetted via the Basic Disclosure Check, as well as making a Declaration of Interest on an annual basis declaring any potential conflicts of interest with upcoming audit programme and the partners that WIASS work with. No auditor, who has transferred from a Service, will audit that Service for a minimum of three years. The Service is organisationally independent for

AUDIT, GOVERNANCE & STANDARDS COMMITTEE

all Partners. Although the HoWIASS reports directly to the s151 Officers of the Partner organisations the role has direct and unrestricted access to the senior management team and Committee Chair. The Client Officer Group, who governs the Service, meets on a quarterly basis and is made up of the Partner s151 Officers. They each have an equal vote and consider the strategic direction of the Service as well as progress and performance. Further independence and safeguard checks are reported throughout this Charter in the form of checks, actions and process.

4. Aligns with the strategies, objectives, and risks of the organisation:
The audit plan and its content is discussed with Management¹ and s151 Officers to ensure that risks are identified; appropriate processes, systems and strategies are tested and that areas of risk are monitored and mitigated. Corporate and service risk registers are used along with corporate knowledge and the promises and objectives. Five year plans are also considered as part of the risk profiling and plan definition.
5. Is appropriately positioned and adequately resourced:
As a shared service, WIASS is hosted by Worcester City Council, but audit allocations ensure a presence in all authorities that it serves across the year. Resources are monitored and tracked throughout the annual audit plan, with forecasting used as often as possible to prevent resources becoming too stretched resulting in reduced coverage. WIASS is governed by a Client Officer Group made up from the Partner s151 Officers but also has direct access to Management¹ and the Board² Chairs. Delegated powers are used should there be any resourcing issues.
6. Demonstrates quality and continuous improvement:
Continuous monitoring of the teams performance via trackers is conducted. Quarterly and annual reports are issued to committee and board members demonstrating trends in productivity and value. Individual reviews via 1-2-1 meetings are held monthly with the Team Leader, and include personal development plans for all team members. Improvements and changes will also be made using external assessment as well as internally generated client feedback forms. A self assessment will be completed each municipal year to further provide assurance of quality and improvement. The Service is working with partners to ensure that it continues to provide a 'fit for purpose' Service by developing techniques that will complement requirements, continue to add value and work in a changing environment e.g. critical friend reviews. There is a continuous desire to ensure that the Service changes and adopts best practice methods as identified by the professional institutions e.g. IIA, CIPFA.

AUDIT, GOVERNANCE & STANDARDS COMMITTEE

7. Communicates effectively

Various forms of communication are adopted (verbal, written, diagram / graph) throughout the review process by all members of the WIASS team. Continued monitoring and improvements to the methodology are conducted, making the report and testing documents clearer for all users. Findings are discussed verbally with management¹ prior to the issue of a formal report. Reports are issued to Officers and Committee¹ on a regular basis.

8. Provides risk-based assurance

The audit plans are risk based with reviews being classified from high to low risk. The review scope is risk based which drives the review without creating restrictions on the areas covered. All findings are rated high, medium or low risk. Risks associated with the findings are linked directly to the recommendation and the management action to mitigate it. The review risk is combined to create the overall assurance level of the audit, which will be presented to the client with explanation and reasoning in the form of a report.

9. Is insightful, proactive and future-focused

Insightful – where possible WIASS officers with relevant background experience will be assigned to conduct audits in similar fields. Continued monitoring of current “audit and fraud affairs” is distributed to all WIASS team members. A sharing of knowledge is encouraged in the Service and pre engagement research. Identification of best practice is shared amongst the authorities. Reports identify areas that are working well as well as those that require improvement. Reviews look for efficiencies and better ways of working.

Proactive – scoping meetings are held for all audits allowing for changes to the audit scope in line with changes in service delivery and legislation between annual planning and audits starting. There is also an ability to vary the plan should an emerging risk present itself using delegated powers so the audit service can be proactive in providing assurance to those in governance. Consultancy days are built into the plans to allow for pre implementation of new system/process advice.

Future-focused – The Service will scan the horizon for risks and issues that are emerging. Networking using, for example, the Midlands Audit Group is used to help inform the audit plans and consultancy assignments to provide information to the partners before it becomes a potential issue for them. Monitoring of the next generation initiatives from Central Government and having a team of auditors aware of the potential risks and impact along with environmental control issues will assist in adding value for our partners.

AUDIT, GOVERNANCE & STANDARDS COMMITTEE

10. Promotes organisational improvement

Ethics and culture are key aspects to organisation improvement. WIASS reviews consider ethical and cultural aspects and the potential impact and associated risk. Liaison with s151 Officers, Senior Management Teams and governance boards where applicable to promote continuous organisational development. Audit Reports are issued to management¹ to ensure oversight of the organisation and areas of concern including common themes are looked at and improved on. High and Medium priority findings are followed up after a 3 or 6 month period respectively using an established methodology to ensure that potential risks are being mitigated and there is continuous improvement. Findings will be followed up until such time that they are satisfied. Follow up on findings will be documented and reported to Management, Heads of Service and or the appropriate s151 to give assurance of action and risk mitigation.

For further information please reference:

<https://na.theiia.org/standards-guidance/mandatory-guidance/Pages/Core-Principles-for-the-Professional-Practice-of-Internal-Auditing.aspx>

8. External Relationships

8.1 The main contacts are with:

- Institute of Internal Auditors
- External Auditors
- Local Authorities in the Worcestershire area
- Local Authorities in the Midlands area
- Organisations within the Exeter Benchmarking Group
- CIPFA (publishers of the systems based auditing control matrices written by Exeter IA section)
- National Fraud Initiative via DCLG and Cabinet Office

But may include other external parties as necessary.

8.2 Assurance will be accepted and reported from 3rd parties as long as WIASS can rely on their work and they are suitably qualified to carry out the

AUDIT, GOVERNANCE & STANDARDS COMMITTEE

assessment. The relevance of the work will also be a consideration in using a 3rd party certification e.g. IT integrity testing.

- 8.3 Where work is undertaken on a contractual basis assurance will be provided to 3rd parties outside of the partnership as appropriately agreed. The methodology applied to audit 3rd party organisations will be the same as the methodology used for the members of the partnership. All of the safeguards used to protect the integrity of the audits carried out for the partnership will be extended to 3rd parties as well and appropriate reporting protocols established as part of any contractual agreement. These will be established as part of the engagement with a clearly identified engagement officer and requirements. No contract will be entered into if it is considered that the independence or integrity of the Service will be compromised. If, during the delivery of a contract, it becomes apparent that there is undue influence being brought to bare and/or that the actions of the client is undermining the ethos of internal audit the HoWIASS will inform the Client Officer Group without delay so a strategic decision can be made to avoid any potential reputational damage or compromised independence. Any assurances provided to 3rd Parties will be based on the established internal methodology and the defined definitions of the different levels and priorities.
-

Notes

- a) In the absence of the Head of Internal Audit Shared Service all provisions relating to him/her above will apply to the relevant Team Leader in accordance with the duties allocated by the Head of Internal Audit Shared Service.

REDDITCH BOROUGH COUNCIL

Date: 25th October 2018

AUDIT, GOVERNANCE & STANDARDS COMMITTEE

Version Control:	Date of Change	Action	Updated by
1.0	2 nd March 2012	Charter for WIASS	AB
2.0	9 th August 2012	Update to Charter	AB
3.0	23 rd April 2013	Update to Charter re. International Standards	AB
4.0	21 st Janaury2016	Update to Charter re. legislative requirements & title changes	AB
5.0	1 st July 2016	Update re. titles and definition of 'consultancy' and 'assurance'.	AB
6.0	April 2017	Full review in line with Standards	HT
7.0	May 2017	COG suggestion: Update of H&WFRS name to reflect legal entity & 'Council's' to 'Partners'.	HT
8.0	June/July 2018	External Assessment recommendations: Update to Mission & Definition Inclusion of 3.4, IA remit Update to 4.6 regarding HIASS responsibility on briefing Inclusion of 5.7, escalation for late and non return audit reports Inclusion of 6 – Principle of Public Life Inclusion of 7 – Core Principles of Public Practice Inclusion of 8.2, assurance from 3 rd Parties Inclusion of 8.3, assurance to 3 rd Parties	HG, AB, HT

REDDITCH BOROUGH COUNCIL

Date: 25th October 2018

AUDIT, GOVERNANCE & STANDARDS COMMITTEE
