

**Do you have any other comments to make in relation to this matter?**

We should encourage private hire minibuses to convert to Hackney carriage as there is currently zero 8 seaters on the ranks

I believe that the vehicle should not be subject to an age policy but should be subject to an emission's and condition policy

Many of the accessible vehicles that carry school children will cost in excess of £50,000 are a commercial vehicles and are designed to work efficiently for in excess of 200,000, the majority of these vehicles will only do 6,000 to 8,000 miles a year,

The cost to the travelling public is raised due to the depreciation on a private hire vehicle being greater that that of a private or company car.

HGV and PSV vehicles do not have an age policy.

Yes, you should be lobbying insurance companies to lower the rate, this is putting drivers out of work along with the rip off diesel and petrol prices

I think this is an excellent idea and hopefully it is pushed through to become Permanent.

As I said before  
The best person to decide is the one inspect the vehicle .

I would prefer a licencing officer to a council members who havent a clue about cars, also the MOT tester to have a say aswell

some drivers using Wolverhampton plates driving in REDDITCH working for big operators company's in REDDITCH.  
They driving 10 years older car's.

Pay a Redditch council office

This is a duty for elected members not for unelected Officers!

MORE REGULARLY PLEASE

Fairer decisions by Councillors
Public interest to have Councillors conduct this decision
Elected councillors are 3 and a fairer method.
Unfair for one person to make this decision.
Why should 1 council officer do it?
Too slow process - speed up please But inspection is only 5mins
Public Safety is important. If it's working, don't change it. Don't use this to change other things for taxi drivers in the future.
Is the consultation available in Urdu as many drivers don't read this amount of English to comprehend the first page.
For transparency the system needs to remain.
When deciding on these individual applications, the starting point for the Licensing Sub-Committee is that the application should be refused unless the vehicle is of such an exceptional standard as to justify a departure from the Council's policy.  Are you suggesting the above will change if council officers carry out the process? It would help if you were clear.
Any advantages or disadvantages?
Are you trying to increase fees again for cabbies?
the safety of the vehicle should be assessed by experts and a decision made certainly not by well-meaning amateurs.

I do not believe we should allow aged and tatty cars to be used as private hire vehicles, some of the cars in Redditch are embarrassing, i have been in enough.

We have policies to stop aged cars being used, yet i believe that licensing often just extends the periods. Either scrap or enforce the policies which have gone through Council

Reduce costs

Trust council officer less than dodgy politicians

Speed up the delays

Why change it if it's working

Better with Three Councillors

A duty of elected members not to be conducted by unelected administrative officials.

I vote for councillors

☆)Licensing officers time is available all the time as compare councillors time are more valuable to utilise it some other important matters.

☆)No problem of parking in Licensing officer's site.

☆)No waiting, you free in 15 mins as compare to committee it will spend 4-5 hours ,so much waste of time.